

AK PUYUH EMAS BERHAD — MALAYSIA BOOK OF RECORDS

Official Multi-Record Submission Dossier

TABLE OF CONTENT

SECTION 1: FORMAL DECLARATIONS & SUBMISSION OVERVIEW

- 1.1: Official Submission Letter to the Malaysia Book of Records
- 1.2: Corporate Profile of AK Puyuh Emas Berhad
- 1.3: Purpose, Scope & Classification of the Multi-Record Application
- 1.4: Corporate Authority, Legal Verification & Signatory Credentials
- 1.5: Consolidated Index of All Twenty-Seven Record Claims
- 1.6: Statement of Accuracy, Transparency & Compliance

SECTION 2: RECORD CLAIM #1: Largest Water Themepark in Pantai Timur (Operational Category)

- 2.1: Executive Claim Statement
- 2.2: Full Technical Definition and Boundaries of the Claim
- 2.3: Documentary Justification (site plans, visitor logs, permits)
- 2.4: Quantitative Evidence & Measurement Methodology (sources, calculation)

2.5: Comparative Analysis with National / Regional Benchmarks

2.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files (appendix codes)

2.7: Certification & Declaration (signed attestation)

SECTION 3: RECORD CLAIM #2: Largest Rural-Based Water Themepark in Malaysia

3.1: Executive Claim Statement

3.2: Definition of “Rural-Based” & Scope Rationale

3.3: Documentary Justification (land titles, cadastral maps, photos)

3.4: Quantitative Evidence & Measurement Methodology

3.5: Comparative Analysis vs other rural/semi-rural parks

3.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

3.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 4: RECORD CLAIM #3: First Water Themepark with an Integrated Character Lore Universe

4.1: Executive Claim Statement

4.2: Precise Scope of “Integrated Character Lore Universe”

4.3: Documentary Justification (mascot bible, narrative assets, deployment evidence)

4.4: Qualitative & Quantitative Evidence (content catalogue, use cases)

4.5: Comparative Analysis (national IP & themepark landscape)

4.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

4.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 5: RECORD CLAIM #4: First Water Themepark with a Multi-Book Children’s Literary Franchise

5.1: Executive Claim Statement

5.2: Definition & Scope (series, publication data, ISBNs)

5.3: Documentary Justification (book files, publication records, distribution proof)

5.4: Evidence & Measurement Methodology (print runs, ISBN registration, sales/distribution)

5.5: Comparative Analysis (existing park-published works)

5.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

5.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 6: RECORD CLAIM #5: First Themepark with a Five-Phase, Ten-Year Expansion Masterplan

6.1: Executive Claim Statement

6.2: Scope of the Masterplan (phasing, timelines, deliverables)

6.3: Documentary Justification (masterplan documents, approvals, board minutes)

6.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (milestone definition and gating criteria)

6.5: Comparative Assessment (industry practice & precedent)

6.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

6.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 7: RECORD CLAIM #6: Longest Multi-Tier “Supaa Doopa” Slide Configuration in Rural Malaysia

- 7.1: Executive Claim Statement
- 7.2: Technical Definition (configuration, measurement method)
- 7.3: Documentary Justification (technical drawings, ride specs, contractor certification)
- 7.4: Measurement Methodology & Calculations (length, tiers, validated measurement)
- 7.5: Comparative Analysis (other multi-tier slide records)
- 7.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files
- 7.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 8: RECORD CLAIM #7: Highest Number of Supaa Doopa Slides in an East Coast Themepark

- 8.1: Executive Claim Statement
- 8.2: Definition and Counting Rules (what counts as a Supaa Doopa slide)
- 8.3: Documentary Justification (inventory, opening dates, photos)
- 8.4: Evidence Methodology (count validation)
- 8.5: Comparative Analysis (East Coast competitors)
- 8.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files
- 8.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 9: RECORD CLAIM #8: First Themepark with a Fully Engineered Multi-Division Mascot System

- 9.1: Executive Claim Statement
- 9.2: Scope & Components of the Mascot System (design, operational use, licensing)
- 9.3: Documentary Justification (mascot master document, costume specs, deployment logs)

9.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (deployment instances, IP filings)

9.5: Comparative Analysis (other mascot programmes)

9.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

9.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 10: RECORD CLAIM #9: First Multi-Division Narrative Universe Created by a Themepark Entity

10.1: Executive Claim Statement

10.2: Definition & Scope (divisional integration, cross-use of IP)

10.3: Documentary Justification (division plans, narrative integration maps)

10.4: Evidence Methodology (cross-division usage metrics)

10.5: Comparative Analysis (national examples)

10.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

10.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 11: RECORD CLAIM #10: First Themepark to Publish an Official Epic Novel

11.1: Executive Claim Statement

11.2: Definition & Scope (canon novel, publication credentials)

11.3: Documentary Justification (manuscript, publication evidence)

11.4: Evidence & Measurement Methodology (publication metadata)

11.5: Comparative Analysis (previous park-related novels)

11.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

11.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 12: RECORD CLAIM #11: Most Comprehensive Mascot Development Document by a Malaysian Brand

12.1: Executive Claim Statement

12.2: Definition & Scope (comprehensiveness criteria)

12.3: Documentary Justification (document contents, length, depth)

12.4: Evidence & Comparative Methodology (metric for comprehensiveness)

12.5: Comparative Analysis (peer documents)

12.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

12.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 13: RECORD CLAIM #12: First Themepark with a Multi-Tier Digital Infrastructure Ecosystem

13.1: Executive Claim Statement

13.2: Scope & Technical Definition (Oracle Nexus, WebHydra, HydraCore etc.)

13.3: Documentary Justification (architecture diagrams, deployment proofs)

13.4: Evidence & Technical Validation Methodology (system logs, uptime, integrations)

13.5: Comparative Analysis (national digital maturity)

13.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

13.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 14: RECORD CLAIM #13: First Themepark to Integrate Autonomous Web Architecture

14.1: Executive Claim Statement

14.2: Technical Definition of “Autonomous Web Architecture” (Hydra Athers capabilities)

14.3: Documentary Justification (design docs, operational runbooks)

14.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (autonomy metrics, safety controls)

14.5: Comparative Analysis (innovations within Malaysia)

14.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

14.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 15: RECORD CLAIM #14: Most Comprehensive Digital Ecosystem Blueprint Produced by a Malaysian SME

15.1: Executive Claim Statement

15.2: Definition & Scope (blueprint components and depth)

15.3: Documentary Justification (blueprint files, modelling outputs)

15.4: Evidence & Comparative Methodology (scope metrics)

15.5: Comparative Analysis (SME benchmarks)

15.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

15.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 16: RECORD CLAIM #15: First AI-Driven Community-Asnaf Digital System by a Themepark

16.1: Executive Claim Statement

- 16.2: Scope & Technical Definition (Hydra-Asnaf modules)
- 16.3: Documentary Justification (workflow, dashboards, pilot data)
- 16.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (transaction traceability, audits)
- 16.5: Comparative Analysis (social digital systems in Malaysia)
- 16.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files
- 16.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 17: RECORD CLAIM #16: First Themepark with an Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program

- 17.1: Executive Claim Statement
- 17.2: Program Definition & Scope (legal structure, trust arrangements)
- 17.3: Documentary Justification (program docs, MOUs, pilot evidence)
- 17.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (beneficiary tracking, outcomes)
- 17.5: Comparative Analysis (social program precedents)
- 17.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files
- 17.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 18: RECORD CLAIM #17: First 100% Transparent Digital Donation Flow System in Kelantan

- 18.1: Executive Claim Statement
- 18.2: Technical & Operational Definition (traceability, reporting)
- 18.3: Documentary Justification (transaction logs, dashboard screenshots)
- 18.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (audit trail, reconciliation)

18.5: Comparative Analysis (regional donation transparency)

18.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

18.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 19: RECORD CLAIM #18: First Rural Themepark to Function as a Social-Economic Development Hub

19.1: Executive Claim Statement

19.2: Definition & Scope (hub functions and indicators)

19.3: Documentary Justification (supplier lists, training programs, impact metrics)

19.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (economic indicators, longitudinal data)

19.5: Comparative Analysis (regional development hubs)

19.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

19.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 20: RECORD CLAIM #19: First Fully Integrated Five-Division Entertainment–Tech–Agriculture Ecosystem

20.1: Executive Claim Statement

20.2: Definition & Scope (division interplay and integration mechanisms)

20.3: Documentary Justification (division documents, cross-licensing records)

20.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (inter-division metrics)

20.5: Comparative Analysis (corporate ecosystem precedents)

20.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

20.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 21: RECORD CLAIM #20: Largest Multi-Division Private Intellectual Property Portfolio in Kelantan

21.1: Executive Claim Statement

21.2: Definition & Scope (IP categories counted and valuation approach)

21.3: Documentary Justification (IP registry, filings, timestamps)

21.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (portfolio metrics and verification)

21.5: Comparative Analysis (regional IP portfolios)

21.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

21.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 22: RECORD CLAIM #21: Fastest-Growth Entertainment Entity in Pantai Timur

22.1: Executive Claim Statement

22.2: Definition & Scope (growth metric: revenue, visitors, footprint)

22.3: Documentary Justification (historical data, timeframes)

22.4: Evidence & Growth Measurement Methodology (growth rate calculation)

22.5: Comparative Analysis (regional competitors)

22.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

22.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 23: RECORD CLAIM #22: First Themepark to Publish a Multi-Book Academy Series

23.1: Executive Claim Statement

23.2: Definition & Scope (series structure and distribution)

23.3: Documentary Justification (book manuscripts, publication proof)

23.4: Evidence & Measurement Methodology (publication facts)

23.5: Comparative Analysis (park-published educational content)

23.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

23.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 24: RECORD CLAIM #23: Most Complex Character-to-Business Integration Framework in Malaysia

24.1: Executive Claim Statement

24.2: Definition & Scope (integration touchpoints and metrics)

24.3: Documentary Justification (Akinova + Rakuna + Qualexia + Elvyra use cases)

24.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (commercial tie-ins and performance data)

24.5: Comparative Analysis (character commerce frameworks)

24.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

24.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 25: RECORD CLAIM #24: First Themepark with a Corporate AI Division

25.1: Executive Claim Statement

25.2: Definition & Scope (Akinova division capabilities)

25.3: Documentary Justification (division charter, technical specs, use cases)

25.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (model outputs, operational impact)

25.5: Comparative Analysis (corporate AI adoption in entertainment)

25.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

25.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 26: RECORD CLAIM #25: Most Comprehensive SOP, Governance & Regulatory Documentation Set

26.1: Executive Claim Statement

26.2: Definition & Scope (document categories and depth)

26.3: Documentary Justification (SOP sets, governance manuals, policies)

26.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (document audit trail, version control)

26.5: Comparative Analysis (industry governance benchmarks)

26.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

26.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 27: RECORD CLAIM #26: First Rural Themepark to Implement a Corporate-Grade Governance Framework

27.1: Executive Claim Statement

27.2: Definition & Scope (governance elements and obligations)

27.3: Documentary Justification (board minutes, governance charter)

27.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (governance performance indicators)

27.5: Comparative Analysis (governance in rural enterprises)

27.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

27.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 28: RECORD CLAIM #27: First Rural Themepark in Malaysia to Serve as a Technical Industrial Training Hub

28.1: Executive Claim Statement

28.2: Definition & Scope (training scope, disciplines covered)

28.3: Documentary Justification (LI letters, training curricula, attendance logs)

28.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (hours, competency outputs, assessor reports)

28.5: Comparative Analysis (industry training hubs)

28.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

28.7: Certification & Declaration

SECTION 29: CROSS-CLAIM METHODOLOGY & VALIDATION FRAMEWORK

29.1: Measurement Principles & Standards Applied Across Claims

29.2: Verification Processes and Third-Party Validation Protocols

29.3: Evidence Authentication Protocols and Chain-of-Custody Procedures

29.4: Criteria Consistency, Error Margins & Quality Assurance

SECTION 30: NATIONAL COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

30.1: Comparative Benchmarking Against National Themeparks and Attractions

30.2: Digital & Tech Infrastructure Benchmarking Against Domestic Standards

30.3: IP, Narrative & Creative Asset Comparison with National Benchmarks

30.4: Governance, SOP & Compliance Comparative Assessment

30.5: Social Program and Community Impact Comparisons

SECTION 31: GOVERNANCE, COMPLIANCE & ASSURANCE STRUCTURE

31.1: Regulatory Compliance Summary and Statutory Registrations

31.2: Operational Safety, Quality Assurance & Insurance Coverage

31.3: Internal Audit Processes and Documentation Integrity Controls

31.4: Ethical, Social & Community Assurance Frameworks

SECTION 32: EVIDENCE & VERIFICATION COMPENDIUM

32.1: Themepark Infrastructure, Photos, Plans and Asset Registers

32.2: IP, Literary, Media and Creative Asset Files

32.3: Digital Infrastructure, System Logs and Architectures

32.4: Social Program Data, Transaction Records and Beneficiary Evidence

32.5: Governance, SOPs, Training Records and Compliance Files

32.6: Internship & Industrial Training Records and Evaluations

32.7: Time-Stamped Photographs, Video Proof, Geospatial Files and Maps

32.8: Independent Verification Statements and Third-Party Reports

SECTION 33: CERTIFIED ANNEXES & LEGAL SUPPORT DOCUMENTS

33.1: Certified True Copies of Official Documents

33.2: Official Stamps, Notarised Statements and Verification Seals

33.3 Signed Legal Declarations, MOUs and Letters of Support

33.4 Full Appendices A–Z (Complete Evidence Archive)

SECTION 34: FINAL DECLARATION & AUTHORITY SEAL

34.1: Closing Statement & Executive Summary of Outcomes Requested

34.2: Certification of Truth, Accuracy and Good Faith

34.3: Official Signatures, Company Seal and Witnessing Authority

34.4: Commissioner for Oaths / Notary Public Certification (where applicable)

SECTION 1: FORMAL DECLARATIONS & SUBMISSION OVERVIEW

1.1: Official Submission Letter to the Malaysia Book of Records

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad respectfully submits this Official Multi-Record Submission Dossier for consideration by the Malaysia Book of Records. This dossier contains twenty-seven discrete record claims arising from the company's integrated development and operational platform anchored by Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas and supported by proprietary intellectual property, digital infrastructure and community programs. The purpose of this submission is to present each claim with documentary evidence, defined measurement methodologies and independent verification references so that the Malaysia Book of Records may assess each claim on its individual merits. Accompanying this letter are certified annexes, indexed evidence bundles and authorised attestations that collectively substantiate the claims made. AK Puyuh Emas Berhad requests that the Malaysia Book of Records review the enclosed material and consider each claim for formal recognition in accordance with MBOR procedures and standards. The company stands ready to provide supplementary information, host site inspections, and facilitate any audits or demonstrations required by MBOR evaluators.

1.2: Corporate Profile of AK Puyuh Emas Berhad

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad is a Kelantan-incorporated operating company that has developed Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas into an active, multi-faceted visitor attraction and commercial ecosystem. The enterprise integrates live entertainment and attractions with proprietary creative assets, a vertically integrated agricultural enterprise, a consumer wellness product line, and an enterprise digital platform that supports membership, ticketing and social impact transparency. The company's operating model emphasises local economic integration, accredited training pathways and documented operational governance. AK Puyuh Emas Berhad maintains formal corporate records, registrations, licences and internal control systems that are summarised in the annexed corporate dossier. The company's senior management team and governance framework are described in the attached profile materials, and the materials include references to the documentary evidence that validates operational status, IP provenance and programmatic activities referenced in this submission.

1.3: Purpose, Scope & Classification of the Multi-Record Application

The purpose of this multi-record application is to secure formal recognition from the Malaysia Book of Records for a suite of independent achievements that collectively demonstrate the enterprise's scale, innovation and social contribution. Each record claim is presented as a self-contained chapter within this dossier, with a clear executive claim statement, a rigorous technical definition, documentary justification, a measurement methodology and a comparative analysis. The scope of the application is limited to achievements directly attributable to AK Puyuh Emas Berhad and to assets, programs and intellectual property owned or controlled by the company at the time of submission. For administrative clarity, the claims have been classified into thematic categories—Themepark & Physical Infrastructure, Intellectual Property & Creative Systems, Digital Infrastructure & Technology, Social Economy & Community Transformation, Multi-Division Corporate Architecture, Literary & Creative Output, Administrative & Governance Excellence, and Industrial Training & Talent Development—and the consolidated index cross-references each claim to the supporting annex and appendix files.

1.4: Corporate Authority, Legal Verification & Signatory Credentials

This submission is made under the authority of the board of directors of AK Puyuh Emas Berhad. The materials provided in support of each claim have been prepared under the oversight of the company's executive management and reviewed by legal counsel to ensure factual accuracy and compliance with applicable laws. The accompanying legal verification package contains certified true copies of corporate registration documents, licences, intellectual property filings where applicable, instrumented attestations from third-party service providers and sworn declarations from authorised officers. The signatories to this dossier are authorised corporately to make declarations on behalf of AK Puyuh Emas Berhad and to commit the company to host verification activities. Contact details for the authorised company representatives and the nominated MBOR liaison officer are provided in the annexed contact directory.

1.5: Consolidated Index of All Twenty-Seven Record Claims

A consolidated index enumerating all twenty-seven record claims is provided as part of this submission and appears immediately following this section in the dossier. The index lists each record title, its categorical classification, the dossier chapter reference and the appendix codes for the primary evidentiary materials supporting that claim. The index also includes a one-line description for each claim that states the precise wording proposed for MBOR recognition, together with a cross-reference to the page and file locations of instrumental

evidence such as site plans, technical drawings, publication metadata, transaction logs and certified attestations. The consolidated index is designed to enable rapid navigation of the dossier by MBOR reviewers and to provide immediate clarity on how each claim is substantiated and certified within the evidence compendium.

1.6: Statement of Accuracy, Transparency & Compliance

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad certifies that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, all factual statements contained in this dossier and in the accompanying annexes are true, accurate and complete. The company acknowledges that the Malaysia Book of Records may require additional information, independent verification or on-site inspection to validate any claim and undertakes to cooperate fully with such requests. AK Puyuh Emas Berhad further affirms that the measurement methodologies and comparative statements included in the respective claim chapters are anchored in documented evidence and, where appropriate, in recognised industry standards. The signatories understand that any material misstatement may result in the rejection of one or more claims and may attract reputational or legal consequences, and they therefore provide their declarations under corporate authority. The dossier is submitted in good faith for the objective assessment of the Malaysia Book of Records and in the spirit of national recognition for innovation, enterprise and community service.

SECTION 2: RECORD CLAIM #1: LARGEST WATER THEMEPARK IN PANTAI TIMUR (OPERATIONAL CATEGORY)

2.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad asserts that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas constitutes the largest operational water themepark in the Pantai Timur region within the meaning applied by the Malaysia Book of Records for geographically-scoped operational records. This claim is limited to physical footprint, operational readiness and active public offering at the time of submission and is supported by the company's registered site documentation, operational protocols, asset inventories and contemporaneous activity records. The material enclosed in this chapter demonstrates that the park operates as an active visitor attraction with a settled organisational structure, functioning attractions, supporting facilities and documented evidence of public access and programming. The accompanying annex contains authoritative maps, asset registers and operational attestations that substantiate the boundaries of the claim.

2.2: Full Technical Definition and Boundaries of the Claim

For the purposes of independent verification the claim is defined as follows: the "Largest Water Themepark in Pantai Timur (Operational Category)" is the single, contiguous privately operated water themepark whose on-site, developed water-attraction assets and associated operational footprint (including ride structures, regulated pool zones, circulation and guest service infrastructure) exceed those of any other single water themepark facility currently offering paid public access within the defined Pantai Timur geographic region. The claim's boundaries are the legally-registered site perimeter as shown in the cadastral plans and title documentation, and the unit of measurement is the developed, hard-landscaped area dedicated to water attractions and their immediate guest servicing zones. The profile and master documentation included in this dossier establish the site perimeter, the component attraction zones and the operational definitions used for measurement.

2.3: Documentary Justification (site plans, visitor logs, permits)

Documentary justification is provided through the consolidated corporate profile, site planning documents and operational records maintained by AK Puyuh Emas Berhad. The official park profile contains the site description, facility inventories, operational governance and a formal declaration of authority that form the foundational evidence for the claim. The park's event and operations materials, including the Centurion programme and school-program documentation, supply corroborating evidence of active public programming and visitor handling capabilities. The company's industrial training acceptance letter and related placement materials further demonstrate continuous on-site operations and the existence of engineering and maintenance functions required for safe water attraction operation. Together these documents supply the legally relevant site plans, operational attestations, program records and permit references necessary for verification. Specific files supporting this chapter include the official park profile and declaration document, the Centurion programme brochure and the industrial training acceptance letter.

2.4: Quantitative Evidence & Measurement Methodology (sources, calculation)

Quantitative evidence will be presented according to a transparent measurement methodology prepared for independent verification. The methodology derives the park's developed water-attraction footprint from georeferenced cadastral boundaries and site plans, validated against high-resolution site drawings and certified engineering schematics where available. The measurement protocol records the total area of all hard-landscaped attraction zones dedicated to water rides and supervised pool areas, and aggregates ancillary guest circulation areas that are required for the operation of those attractions. Source data comprises the official site plan, as-built asset registers and contemporaneous photographic evidence accompanied by time stamps and GPS metadata. Visitor logs, ticketing reports and program booking records will be offered as secondary verification of operational status and throughput. All area calculations will be presented in square metres with margin-of-error bounds, accompanied by an independent statement of measurement signed by the responsible surveyor or engineer. The dossier includes the data sources and calculation workpapers and identifies the custodian of each dataset for MBOR review.

2.5: Comparative Analysis with National / Regional Benchmarks

This claim is presented alongside a comparative analysis that situates the park within the regional and national landscape. The comparative analysis documents methodology for identifying comparable facilities, explains the criteria used to include or exclude other parks (for example single-site versus multi-site resort complexes), and provides side-by-side tabulations of developed water footprint, attraction counts and operational status. Where third-party public records or authoritative online listings exist for comparator parks, the dossier cites those sources and reconciles any differences via dated evidence and official

correspondence. The comparative section further explains the geographic delimitation applied for Pantai Timur and clarifies the temporal window during which operational status was assessed, ensuring that MBOR reviewers can replicate the comparison logic if required. The company's formal profile and program documents are used as primary evidence for the park's metrics in the comparative matrix.

2.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files (appendix codes)

All referenced supporting material is collated in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and is indexed to appendix codes for rapid reviewer access. Key supporting appendices for this chapter include the official park profile and declaration (Appendix P-PROF), the Centurion programme and facilities brochure (Appendix P-CENT), georeferenced site plans and cadastral extracts (Appendix P-SITE), the industrial training acceptance letter and trainee placement documentation evidencing continuous operations and engineering activities (Appendix P-LI), photographic and time-stamped evidence of attractions in operation (Appendix P-PHOTO), and internal asset registers with maintenance logs for ride and water-treatment systems (Appendix P-ASSET). Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, version dates and contact information for the responsible officer to expedite MBOR enquiries. Representative files drawn from the company's archive are attached and cross-referenced in the compendium.

2.7: Certification & Declaration (signed attestation)

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a signed attestation executed by authorised company officers affirming the accuracy of the statements and the authenticity of the evidence supplied in support of this claim. The attestation confirms that the site plans, operational records and asset registers are maintained under the company's standard document control procedures, that the measurement methodology described is a true representation of the calculations used, and that the company will facilitate any site inspection, independent measurement or supplementary verification required by the Malaysia Book of Records. The attestation is accompanied by certified true copies of corporate registration, land title extracts and any statutory permits associated with park operation, all of which are lodged in the certified annexes for MBOR's examination.

SECTION 3: RECORD CLAIM #2: LARGEST RURAL-BASED WATER THEMEPARK IN MALAYSIA

3.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad formally submits this chapter in support of the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas represents the largest rural-based water themepark in Malaysia. The claim is limited to a single, contiguous site that is principally rural in character and where the primary land use and operating context remain outside metropolitan or urbanised zones. The assertion is grounded in verifiable land-use documentation, cadastral delineation, site asset inventories and operational records demonstrating that the park's developed water attraction footprint, guest servicing infrastructure and operational throughput exceed those of any other comparably rural facility in Malaysia at the time of submission. The material contained in this chapter provides a precise definitional basis for "rural-based" designation, itemises documentary evidence that establishes site status and scale, and presents a rigorous quantitative measurement protocol together with comparative benchmarking to demonstrate the claim's validity. AK Puyuh Emas Berhad commits to facilitate independent inspection and measurement to validate the representations herein.

3.2: Definition of "Rural-Based" & Scope Rationale

For the purposes of this record claim, "rural-based" is defined by a combined set of cadastral, land-use and demographic criteria that together distinguish the subject site from metropolitan, suburban or resort enclave classifications. Specifically, a rural-based themepark is a single, privately held development whose surrounding land use within a defined buffer zone of the park perimeter consists predominantly of agricultural, undeveloped or low-density settlement patterns, is located outside the administrative boundaries of major urban agglomerations, and lacks the transportation, commercial and high-density residential infrastructure that characterises metropolitan resort precincts. The scope rationale for this definition is twofold: firstly, to ensure that comparisons are made only against parks that operate in similar socio-economic and infrastructural contexts; and secondly, to recognise the additional operational, logistics and development constraints faced by rural operators when compared to urban or resort counterparts. The application of these criteria is documented with cadastral maps, local zoning records and ground photographs included in the evidence compendium, and the precise buffer parameters used for classification are stated in the measurement methodology accompanying this chapter.

3.3: Documentary Justification (land titles, cadastral maps, photos)

Documentary justification for the rural designation and for the site's scale is provided through certified land title extracts, cadastral plans, site development approvals and dated photographic evidence. The land title documentation establishes legal parcel boundaries and ownership, the cadastral maps situate the park within the wider land-use context and the development approvals record the permitted uses and extents of constructed works. Photographic evidence, including high-resolution, time-stamped images and geotagged site photographs, corroborates the on-the-ground rural character of adjacent land and demonstrates the spatial separation from urbanised zones. Additional supporting documents include municipal zoning confirmations, access road classifications, and sworn statements from local authorities regarding the site's locality. These materials are collated in the evidence compendium and cross-referenced to the appendix codes cited herein to expedite MBOR verification.

3.4: Quantitative Evidence & Measurement Methodology

The quantitative evidence supporting the claim is derived from a transparent measurement methodology that aggregates geospatial and as-built data to calculate the developed water attraction footprint and related operational area. The methodology commences with the authoritative cadastral boundary as the primary perimeter, applies georeferenced overlays of hard-landscaped attraction zones and supervised pool footprints, and includes immediate guest servicing areas necessary for the safe operation of the water attractions. Measurements are reported in square metres and accompanied by source references, calculation workpapers and stated tolerances that account for mapping resolution and permitted margin of error. Where available, certified surveyor notes and engineer sign-offs are included to substantiate area calculations. Operational evidence, including contemporaneous ticketing data and visitor throughput logs, is presented as ancillary quantitative verification of active use. The measurement protocol is designed to be independently reproducible and the company offers to provide original geospatial files and surveyor attestations to MBOR reviewers on request.

3.5: Comparative Analysis vs Other Rural/Semi-Rural Parks

The comparative analysis situates Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas within the national field of rural and semi-rural water attractions by applying the same definitional and measurement criteria to identified comparator sites. Comparator selection follows a documented screening methodology that excludes metropolitan resorts, multi-site complexes and temporary event installations, thereby ensuring parity in context. For each comparator park, the dossier provides the best available public or certified data on developed water

footprint, attraction counts and operational status, and reconciles any inconsistencies through dated documentary references or direct correspondence. The analysis explicitly addresses the distinctions between single-site rural parks and larger resort complexes to prevent category conflation, and it highlights the empirical basis for concluding that the subject site exceeds all other rural or semi-rural peers in the specified measurement metrics. The comparative matrix and its source citations are included in the evidence compendium to facilitate transparent cross-checking by MBOR assessors.

3.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All materials referenced in this chapter are organised and indexed within the Evidence & Verification Compendium under dedicated appendix codes. Principal appendices supporting the rural-based footprint claim include certified land title extracts and cadastral plans (Appendix R-TITLE), site development approvals and zoning confirmations (Appendix R-ZONE), geotagged photographic evidence and aerial imagery (Appendix R-PHOTO), surveyor certificates and as-built drawings (Appendix R-SURV), and operational records demonstrating active attraction deployment (Appendix R-OPER). Each appendix entry incorporates metadata identifying the document custodian, date of issue, and contact for verification. The compendium also contains correspondence with municipal and land authorities where applicable, and any third-party attestations used to corroborate rural designation. These appendices are presented in both public and certified confidential bundles to respect any commercially sensitive material while enabling MBOR to perform comprehensive verification.

3.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides an authorised corporate declaration attesting to the accuracy, completeness and authenticity of the documentation and measurements presented in support of this claim. The declaration is executed by authorised signatories of the company and is accompanied by certified true copies of the core land title and cadastral documents. The company affirms its readiness to facilitate site inspections, to provide original surveyor geospatial files and to host MBOR representatives for on-site verification. In making this submission, AK Puyuh Emas Berhad understands that MBOR may require additional independent verification or clarification and undertakes to fully cooperate with any enquiries or validation procedures required to confirm or refine the record claim.

SECTION 4: RECORD CLAIM #3: FIRST WATER THEMEPARK WITH AN INTEGRATED CHARACTER LORE UNIVERSE

4.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad asserts that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first water themepark in Malaysia to deliver a purpose-built, fully integrated character lore universe that is visibly embedded across attraction design, guest experiences, published narrative works, merchandising, and digital services. The claim rests upon demonstrable evidence of an authored and governed narrative architecture, published narrative assets, an operational mascot deployment programme, and persistent cross-channel utilisation of the same canon across park operations, consumer products and digital platforms. This chapter supplies the documentary, qualitative and quantitative evidence that demonstrates continuity of authorship, intentional deployment and operationalisation of the lore across the enterprise, and shows that the integrated character universe is a foundational design element rather than an incidental marketing overlay.

4.2: Precise Scope of “Integrated Character Lore Universe”

For the purposes of independent assessment, the “Integrated Character Lore Universe” is defined as a structured, authoritatively governed set of fictional characters, narratives, worldbuilding rules, and canonical content that have been created, documented and deployed by a single operating entity and that demonstrably inform and appear across three or more of the following operational domains: physical attractions and shows, published literary content, branded consumer products, and digital platform experiences. The scope additionally requires formal governance of the IP (a story bible or equivalent), internal licensing or usage rules that control how the characters are used across divisions, and evidence of repeated, sanctioned use within the park environment (for instance, character-led shows, educational programming, and merchandise collections). The requirement that a single entity own or control the canonical assets at the time of submission is essential: the integrated universe must be attributable to AK Puyuh Emas Berhad and materially present in the company’s operational footprint. The subsequent evidentiary subsections demonstrate how the company meets each element of this scope definition.

4.3: Documentary Justification (mascot bible, narrative assets, deployment evidence)

The documentary record supporting this claim includes the formal mascot and character master document, which establishes origin, character biographies, visual identity systems, and governance protocols that constitute the story bible for the universe. The master document details key character attributes, permitted usage, costume engineering specifications and authorised merchandising pathways, and it is used operationally to guide live interactions and creative content development. Published literary works, including the Academy book series and the canonical novel, provide substantiation of authored narrative material that expands and codifies the universe's lore. Deployment evidence includes schedules and logs of character appearances, documented show scripts, photographic and video proof of live character interactions within the park, retail product catalogues that map product SKUs to character IP, and Oracle Nexus records that demonstrate the characters' use within digital membership, ticketing and experiential systems. Together these materials show a coherent pipeline from IP creation to multi-channel deployment and form the evidentiary backbone for the claim. Representative supporting files have been collated and referenced in the Evidence & Verification Compendium.

4.4: Qualitative & Quantitative Evidence (content catalogue, use cases)

Qualitative evidence includes the narrative bible's internal cross-references, creative guidelines, and editorial controls that ensure consistency in character portrayal across media. It also includes expert attestations from creative leads describing the process by which park design, show programming and product development were aligned to the canon. Quantitative evidence comprises a content catalogue enumerating published works, episodes of live programming, number of character-led shows per month, SKUs tied to character IP, membership engagements referencing character-driven campaigns, and digital campaign metrics that measure reach and interaction. The dossier presents specific metrics such as the number of published narrative assets in the Academy series, the frequency of Rakuna appearances in live shows, the depth of merchandise lines mapped to core characters, and adoption rates of character-themed membership products. These metrics are supported by system extracts, publication metadata, and retail inventory logs, and together they demonstrate both breadth and intensity of integrated use across the enterprise.

4.5: Comparative Analysis (national IP & themepark landscape)

The comparative analysis benchmarks AK Puyuh Emas Berhad's integrated character universe against the national landscape of themepark IP deployment. The analysis identifies

the limited number of Malaysian parks with in-house characters and assesses their level of narrative integration, number and depth of published works, and extent of cross-division utilisation. The analysis demonstrates that while other parks may possess mascots or licensed characters, none present an authoritatively governed, multi-tier narrative bible supported by a published literature series, a canonical novel, an extensive mascot master document, and integrated digital activation across membership and donation flows as is evidenced for Puyuh Emas. The comparative assessment references public records, published materials and direct observations to substantiate the uniqueness of AK Puyuh Emas Berhad's approach, thereby situating the claim within a defensible national context.

4.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All documentary items supporting this chapter are indexed in the Evidence & Verification Compendium. Primary appendices include the Mascot Master Document (Appendix C-MAST), the Academy Book Series files and publication metadata (Appendix C-BOOKS), the official canonical novel manuscript and publication evidence (Appendix C-NOVEL), photographic and video records of character deployment and live shows (Appendix C-PHOTO/VIDEO), merchandise catalogues and inventory mappings (Appendix C-MERCH), and Oracle Nexus extracts demonstrating in-platform character activations (Appendix C-DIGI). Each appendix entry is accompanied by custodial metadata, creation dates, and the responsible officer's contact details to facilitate expedited reviewer queries. Confidential creative assets are provided under controlled access conditions to protect commercial sensitivity while ensuring that MBOR assessors have full access to verify authorship and deployment.

4.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal declaration, signed by authorised company officers, attesting to the accuracy, completeness and authenticity of the materials and claims presented in this chapter. The declaration confirms that the Mascot Master Document, the published books, the novel, and all deployment logs are original company assets or are under company control, and that the company will facilitate any validation activities requested by the Malaysia Book of Records, including site visits, interviews with creative leads, and access to restricted content under appropriate non-disclosure terms. The company acknowledges that MBOR may require additional verification steps and commits to full cooperation in the interest of transparent and rigorous adjudication.

SECTION 5: RECORD CLAIM #4: FIRST WATER THEMEPARK WITH A MULTI-BOOK CHILDREN’S LITERARY FRANCHISE

5.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first operational water themepark in Malaysia to originate, publish and operationally integrate a multi-volume children’s literary franchise directly linked to park IP, character systems and guest experiences. The claim rests on demonstrated authorship, formal publication metadata, distribution activity and tangible operational use of the literary content across the park’s programming and merchandising channels. The submission shows that the literary franchise was authored under company control, published with formal identifiers and actively used to inform attraction narratives, educational programming and consumer offerings, thereby qualifying it as an enterprise-level franchise rather than an ancillary or promotional book series.

5.2: Definition & Scope (series, publication data, ISBNs)

For the purposes of this claim, a “multi-book children’s literary franchise” is defined as a published series consisting of more than one discrete book intended primarily for child audiences, bound by common characters and narrative continuity, and documented through recognized publication metadata such as ISBN registration, publisher records and distribution channels. The scope of the claim requires that the books be authored or commissioned by the submitting entity, that they be formally published with traceable publication data, and that they have demonstrable linkage to park IP through character use, narrative integration in attractions or merchandising. The franchise considered in this submission comprises the Academy series and supporting narrative titles produced by AK Puyuh Emas Berhad, each of which is accompanied by production records, editorial files and publication identifiers that confirm their status as formally published works within the defined franchise.

5.3: Documentary Justification (book files, publication records, distribution proof)

Documentary justification for the claim is provided through a compendium of materials that includes final manuscript files, editorial tracking records, publisher correspondence, ISBN registrations where applicable, proofs of printing, distribution agreements and sales or distribution logs. Included within the submitted evidence are publication-ready book files, metadata records indicating publication dates and edition numbers, and documentation of any formal distribution arrangements such as consignments to retail outlets, digital distribution channels or publication catalogues. Additional corroboration is provided by demonstrable usage of the books within the park environment, including educational session outlines referencing book content, storytime schedules, branded reading corners and merchandising records that map book-driven characters to licensed products. These materials collectively demonstrate authorship, formal publication and operational deployment consistent with the franchise definition.

5.4: Evidence & Measurement Methodology (print runs, ISBN registration, sales/distribution)

The evidentiary approach for this claim distinguishes between publication authenticity, scale of distribution and operational deployment. Publication authenticity is established by presenting manuscript version histories, publisher confirmations and, where available, ISBN registration data. Scale of distribution is evidenced through print-run declarations, print supplier invoices and shipment manifests that document the quantity and destination of physical copies. Digital distribution metrics, where applicable, are substantiated through platform distribution reports and download or access logs. Operational deployment is quantified by recording the frequency and scope of in-park literary programming, the number of educational or storytime sessions conducted, the volume of book-related merchandise sales and membership engagement statistics linked to book promotions. All numerical claims are supported by primary source records or supplier documentation, and measurement methodologies are stated explicitly so that independent auditors can reproduce the verification steps and reconcile any differences between internal and external records.

5.5: Comparative Analysis (existing park-published works)

The comparative analysis examines the national landscape for park-originated literary publications and demonstrates that while some parks or attractions may have produced promotional booklets, single-title storybooks or licensed publications, there are no precedents in Malaysia for a purpose-built, multi-volume children's literature franchise authored, published and operationally integrated by a single themepark operator at the scale and scope evidenced by AK Puyuh Emas Berhad. The analysis considers publication counts, existence of canonical narrative continuity across multiple volumes, formal publication metadata and operational integration into park programming. Where other parks have released single titles or commissioned small-format works, those initiatives are evaluated and distinguished from

the franchise presented here on grounds of scale, continuity, authorship and degree of integration with park IP and operations.

5.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All materials supporting this chapter are organized within the dossier's evidence compendium and are referenced by appendix codes for rapid review. Key supporting items include final book files and proofs, editorial logs and version histories, publisher correspondence and ISBN metadata where issued, printing invoices and shipment manifests, distribution agreements, in-park programming schedules that reference book content, photographic evidence of literary activations within the park, retail SKUs mapping book titles to sales records, and statements from editorial leads or publishing partners. Each appendix entry includes custodian contact details, dates of issue and any applicable confidentiality restrictions. Where proprietary creative files are commercially sensitive, they are provided under controlled access arrangements to permit MBOR's verification while preserving IP protection.

5.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a formal corporate declaration attesting to the veracity and completeness of the documentary materials accompanying this claim. The declaration is signed by authorised company officers and certifies that the literary works submitted as evidence were authored or commissioned under the authority of the company, that publication and distribution records are accurate to the best of the company's knowledge, and that the books have been operationally integrated into park programming and commercial activity as described. The company affirms its willingness to facilitate independent verification, including production supplier confirmation, on-site review of literary programming, and interviews with creative and operational personnel, and accepts MBOR's right to request any additional information deemed necessary to validate the record claim.

SECTION 6: RECORD CLAIM #5: FIRST THEMEPARK WITH A FIVE-PHASE, TEN-YEAR EXPANSION MASTERPLAN

6.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad hereby submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first themepark operator in Malaysia to present, govern and publish a comprehensively documented, five-phase masterplan that outlines a ten-year strategic expansion pathway from immediate optimisation through to large-scale precinct maturation. The masterplan is an integrated commercial, technical and social blueprint that links discrete capital phases to verifiable deliverables, independent validation gates and measurable impact outcomes. The documentation supplied demonstrates that the masterplan is a corporate-level instrument approved by company governance, embedded in operational decisioning, and actively used to sequence capital deployment, regulatory engagement and social commitments. The materials in this chapter establish authorship, board endorsement, technical grounding and an enforceable milestone discipline that together constitute the enterprise's claim to a uniquely comprehensive multi-phase planning artefact.

6.2: Scope of the Masterplan (phasing, timelines, deliverables)

The masterplan's scope covers a sequenced five-phase programme that begins with an immediate enhancement and optimisation phase and culminates in a fully realised entertainment, hospitality and community precinct after the tenth year. Phase One concentrates on urgent safety, guest-experience optimisation, incremental attraction additions and operational certification designed to lift yield and proof the operating model. Phase Two expands attraction capacity, introduces membership and premium experience pilots, and scales retail and F&B offerings to stabilise recurring revenues. Phase Three focuses on the introduction of specialty zones, efficiency-driven back-of-house infrastructure such as centralized water reuse and waste management, and the formalisation of local supplier clusters. Phase Four accelerates commercialisation through hospitality integration, event infrastructure for regional programming and content production capabilities. Phase Five represents precinct maturity and consolidation, including advanced experiential IP export mechanisms, broader regional connectivity projects and long-run stewardship arrangements. Each phase is described in the masterplan with explicit timelines, capital estimates, deliverables and the governance conditions required for transition to subsequent phases; these elements are documented in the masterplan annexes and aligned to the company's operational and financial planning calendars.

6.3: Documentary Justification (masterplan documents, approvals, board minutes)

Documentary justification for the existence, authorship and corporate adoption of the five-phase, ten-year masterplan is provided by a set of primary records including the formal masterplan document, board resolution minutes adopting the plan as the company's strategic roadmap, engineering annexes and staged CAPEX schedules. The masterplan document contains conceptual and technical narrative, phased GANTT timelines, preliminary costings and schematic land-use allocations that are supported by engineering and design appendices. Board minutes and governance records included in the dossier demonstrate that the masterplan was reviewed and authorised at the governance level and that the board instructed management to implement the plan under milestone discipline. Planning approvals, where applicable, and early-stage procurement contracts are supplied to evidence progress against Phase One deliverables. The compilation of these artefacts evidences corporate commitment to the multi-phase strategy and satisfies the requirement that the masterplan be more than aspirational — it is an active instrument of corporate strategy and operational control.

6.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (milestone definition and gating criteria)

The masterplan is governed by a validation methodology that ties tranche release and public presentation to independently verifiable milestones. Each phase contains a concise set of entry and exit criteria including technical certifications (for example ride safety and water-quality thresholds), commercial KPIs (visitation, per-capita revenue, membership adoption rates), environmental outcomes (water management targets, reforestation or riparian restoration metrics) and social indicators (local procurement ratios, trainee placements, Asnaf program outcomes). Validation is designed to be evidence-based and auditable, relying on third-party certification where appropriate and on transparent data feeds from Oracle Nexus and the project's operational control centre. The gating criteria are recorded in the masterplan and include the form, frequency and acceptable tolerance for evidence submission. For MBOR review, the dossier includes the validation templates, exemplar certification samples, and the indexed evidence trail for the initial phase deliverables that have already been executed or are in active procurement. This structured validation methodology both protects public and investor interests and demonstrates that the five-phase plan is operationally enforceable rather than conceptual.

6.5: Comparative Assessment (industry practice & precedent)

The comparative assessment situates the Puyuh Emas five-phase masterplan within prevailing industry practices and identifies its distinguishing attributes relative to standard themepark planning documents. Whereas many operators maintain multi-year expansion intentions or loose strategic roadmaps, the Puyuh Emas masterplan is distinguished by its explicit phase gating, integrated social performance covenants, multi-division IP commercialisation sequencing and the inclusion of sovereign-grade digital infrastructure planning as a core component rather than an ancillary IT plan. The dossier compares the masterplan's structural elements against public masterplans, planning statements and investor prospectuses from national and regional peers, illustrating that Puyuh Emas' document exhibits higher granularity in milestone gating, a broader integration of social and digital performance metrics, and binding governance approvals. This comparative analysis demonstrates that the Puyuh Emas masterplan is not only comprehensive in scope but also novel in linking community outcomes and digital infrastructure to conventional attraction expansion planning. Evidence sources and comparator summaries are provided to allow MBOR assessors to reproduce the evaluative logic.

6.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All masterplan related materials are itemised in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and cross-referenced here for rapid examination. Key appendices include the formal five-phase masterplan document (Appendix M-MPLAN), board minutes and resolution extracts evidencing adoption (Appendix M-MIN), engineering and site schematics for each phase (Appendix M-ENG), the phased CAPEX and OPEX schedules (Appendix M-FIN), validation templates and exemplar third-party certificates (Appendix M-VAL), and procurement and early works contracts for Phase One items (Appendix M-PROC). Each appendix entry provides file identifiers, custodial metadata and contact details for the responsible officer. Confidential or commercially sensitive annexes are provided under controlled access to MBOR reviewers to permit full verification while preserving commercially privileged information.

6.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits a formal corporate declaration affirming that the masterplan materials presented in this chapter are accurate, that the board-level approvals cited are authentic and that the company will facilitate any additional verification or site inspection requested by the Malaysia Book of Records. The signatories attest that the phasing and gating criteria are in current operational use and that the company accepts MBOR's right to inspect

financial, technical and governance artefacts that substantiate the claim. The declaration is accompanied by certified true copies of the board resolution and the masterplan document and is provided in the certified annexes for MBOR's review. The company acknowledges that MBOR may require independent validation of milestone outcomes and commits to full cooperation in any such verification process.

SECTION 7: RECORD CLAIM #6: LONGEST MULTI-TIER “SUPAA DOOPA” SLIDE CONFIGURATION IN RURAL MALAYSIA

7.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to establish that the multi-tier “Supaa Doopa” slide configuration installed and operated at Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas constitutes the longest contiguous multi-tier water slide installation within a rural Malaysian park context, measured by cumulative installed slide length and by the operational sequencing of connected tiers. The claim is limited to permanently installed, engineered slide structures designed for public operation, and is substantiated by certified technical drawings, contractor installation certifications, as-built measurements and photographic evidence of the slide system in active operation. The documentation presented herein demonstrates both the physical characteristics of the installation and the operational status required for MBOR adjudication.

7.2: Technical Definition (configuration, measurement method)

For clarity and reproducibility, the technical definition applied to this claim defines a multi-tier slide configuration as a set of two or more engineered slide segments that are physically interconnected or functionally sequenced to provide a continuous rider experience across distinct elevations, with each tier representing a discrete structural and hydraulic module. The measurement method aggregates the centreline length of each slide segment within the contiguous configuration, measured from the rider entry point of the first tier to the final splashdown plane of the last tier. Measurement excludes temporary connectors, portable structures or demonstration units and includes only permanently installed fiberglass or composite slide sections affixed to engineered supports. All measurements are to be validated against the certified as-built drawings and by an independent field survey that reconciles installed conditions with design documentation. The technical basis and measurement conventions used for this claim follow internationally accepted amusement ride surveying practice as documented in the appended technical files.

7.3: Documentary Justification (technical drawings, ride specs, contractor certification)

Documentary justification is provided through the park's technical package comprising design drawings, manufacturer and contractor specifications, installation completion certificates, commissioning reports and maintenance acceptance logs. The design drawings enumerate section-by-section slide profiles, radius and slope data, structural support schematics and jointing details, while manufacturer specifications confirm the class, material and rated performance of each slide module. Contractor certification attests to compliance with installation tolerances and to the completion of static and dynamic tests conducted during commissioning. Commissioning reports include load-testing, friction and flow verification, and initial lifeguard and operational training acceptance sign-offs. These documents are compiled from the park's engineering annexes and are made available within the evidence compendium to permit independent technical review and on-site verification.

7.4: Measurement Methodology & Calculations (length, tiers, validated measurement)

The measurement methodology employs a three-step verification process that begins with a design-to-built reconciliation, proceeds to an independent field survey, and concludes with a certified calculation of cumulative centreline length. The reconciliation step cross-checks as-built drawings and installation certificates to identify any deviations between planned and installed geometry. The field survey utilises high-precision GNSS-enabled total station measurements and laser-tracking where necessary to capture centreline coordinates, vertical offsets and transition points between tiers; these raw measurements are reduced into linear lengths for each segment using standard geodetic computation methods. Calculation workpapers aggregate segment lengths into the contiguous configuration total, document rounding conventions and state margin-of-error bounds. Measurement outputs are accompanied by a signed surveyor's certificate and by photographic geotags taken at predetermined control points to demonstrate chain-of-custody and to facilitate independent replication of results by MBOR-appointed verifiers.

7.5: Comparative Analysis (other multi-tier slide records)

The comparative analysis applies the identical technical definition and measurement methodology to identified comparator installations across Malaysia, focusing on permanent multi-tier slide systems situated in rural or semi-rural settings. Comparator identification follows a documented screening approach that draws on public records, industry publications

and direct inquiries; where published data are incomplete, the dossier notes reliance upon the most authoritative available source and flags those comparators for which additional field verification would be required. The analysis demonstrates that, under the adopted measurement convention and confined to the rural park context, no other single-site installation exceeds the subject site's cumulative centreline length and tier sequencing as substantiated in the accompanying evidence. All comparator metrics and source citations are provided in the evidence compendium to enable MBOR assessors to replicate or challenge the comparative conclusions.

7.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

Supporting documentation for this claim is indexed in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and includes the engineering drawing set and sectional details for the Supaa Doopa installation (Appendix S-ENG), manufacturer technical datasheets and product certifications (Appendix S-MFG), contractor completion certificates and commissioning reports (Appendix S-CERT), independent surveyor measurement files and signed field certificates (Appendix S-SURV), photographic and video evidence with geotags illustrating installed conditions and rider sequencing (Appendix S-PHOTO/VID), and maintenance and inspection logs that evidence operational continuity (Appendix S-MNT). Each appendix entry identifies document custodians, dates of issue and contact details for rapid verification and is provided under controlled access to MBOR reviewers where confidentiality is required.

7.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides an authorised declaration signed by executive management and by the responsible engineering officers certifying the authenticity and accuracy of the technical documentation, the veracity of the field measurements, and the operational status of the slide configuration. The company undertakes to facilitate any on-site inspections requested by the Malaysia Book of Records, to grant access to original surveyor logs and to provide qualified technical personnel for interviews or demonstrations during verification. The signed declaration is accompanied by certified true copies of the principal engineering and commissioning documents and is lodged in the certified annexes to enable MBOR to proceed with rigorous and transparent adjudication of the record claim.

SECTION 8: RECORD CLAIM #7: HIGHEST NUMBER OF SUPAA DOOPA SLIDES IN AN EAST COAST THEMEPARK

8.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas operates the highest number of “Supaa Doopa” slides in any single themepark located in Malaysia’s East Coast region. The claim is confined to permanently installed water-slide attractions of the “Supaa Doopa” design class that are actively commissioned for public use and that form part of the park’s normal, ticketed attraction portfolio at the time of submission. The evidence supplied herein demonstrates the count of qualifying slides, the installation and commissioning dates, and the ongoing operational status of each unit, together with third-party corroborative material and photographic proof that permits independent verification. The purpose of this chapter is to present the definitional boundaries, documentary evidence, measurement methodology and comparative analysis necessary for MBOR to adjudicate the record claim on a rigorous and auditable basis.

8.2: Definition and Counting Rules (what counts as a Supaa Doopa slide)

For the purposes of consistent adjudication a “Supaa Doopa” slide is defined by a combination of design, construction and operational attributes: it is a permanent water slide installation constructed from engineered fiberglass or equivalent composite materials, installed on a fixed support structure, intended for seated or prone rider use with a continuous water flow system, and marketed by the park under the “Supaa Doopa” product identity or equivalent descriptive nomenclature. Counting rules specify that only distinct, permanently installed systems are eligible; modular segments that are non-permanently joined, portable demonstration units, or temporary festival installations are excluded. Where a single connected slide system contains bifurcations or multiple discrete rider entry points that lead to separate splashdown planes, each unique ride path that is independently accessible by guests is counted as a separate slide for the purposes of this record claim. These conventions are applied uniformly in the evidence methodology and are reconciled with manufacturer and contractor specifications included in the technical annex.

8.3: Documentary Justification (inventory, opening dates, photos)

The documentary justification comprises internal inventory registers that list each Supaa Doopa slide by unit identifier, the date of first public commissioning, supplier and contractor details, and maintenance acceptance records. Photographic evidence, including high-resolution time-stamped imagery and video captures from initial opening events and routine operations, corroborates the physical existence and public use of each slide. Where relevant, launch and commissioning certificates issued by the installing contractor and internal ride acceptance reports are provided as proof of operational readiness. The dossier also includes marketing and scheduling materials that show the slides as part of the park's active attraction roster and ticketing records that indicate the slides were available to paying visitors on specified dates. These items collectively demonstrate that the slides are installed, owned by the park, and operated as part of the regular guest experience.

8.4: Evidence Methodology (count validation)

The count validation methodology uses a triangulated evidence approach to ensure accuracy and reproducibility. The primary data source is the park's asset inventory which enumerates slide units and their identifiers; this list is reconciled against procurement and installation contracts to confirm supplier-supplied serials and delivery dates. Photographic and video evidence with embedded timestamps and geotags is used to independently verify installed conditions and public accessibility, while ticketing and program logs corroborate that the units were open for public use on the specified commissioning dates. Where slide systems have multiple entry points or diverging paths, manufacturer technical drawings and as-built schematics are used to map and enumerate discrete ride paths consistent with the counting rules. An independent field verification protocol is offered, which enables MBOR-appointed verifiers to inspect each listed unit, crosscheck serials, and validate operational status through live demonstration or supervised inspection. The methodology is documented within the evidence compendium and is designed to be transparent and replicable by external auditors.

8.5: Comparative Analysis (East Coast competitors)

The comparative analysis applies the same definitional and counting conventions to known East Coast parks to ensure a like-for-like comparison. Available public records, official park inventories where accessible, industry directories and documented site visits are consulted to identify competitor slide counts. Where public data are incomplete, the dossier relies on the most authoritative available sources and notes gaps that would require direct verification. The comparative narrative explains the selection criteria for comparator parks, clarifies exclusions (such as urban or multi-site resort complexes that fall outside the East Coast delimitation),

and demonstrates, on the basis of the reconciled data set, that no other single-site East Coast themepark exceeds the number of qualifying Supaa Doopa slides installed and actively operated at Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas as of the submission date. The comparative exhibits and source citations are included in the evidence compendium to allow MBOR assessors to reproduce the analysis if necessary.

8.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All documents and media supporting this claim are indexed in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and are cross-referenced in the appendices. Principal items include the slide inventory register (Appendix SD-INV), commissioning and contractor certificates (Appendix SD-CERT), supplier technical specifications and serial records (Appendix SD-MFG), time-stamped photographic and video evidence of each slide (Appendix SD-PHOTO/VID), ticketing summaries and attraction availability logs (Appendix SD-TICK), and any relevant marketing collateral evidencing public offer (Appendix SD-MKT). Each appendix entry is accompanied by custodial metadata, version dates and contact points for verification. Confidential technical drawings and manufacturer-internal data are provided under controlled-access arrangements to permit MBOR's technical review while protecting commercially sensitive information.

8.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a formal corporate declaration signed by authorised officers attesting to the accuracy of the slide inventory, the authenticity of commissioning certificates and the truthfulness of the photographic and operational records submitted in support of this claim. The declaration confirms the company's readiness to permit MBOR-appointed verifiers to conduct on-site inspections, to access original installation contracts and to observe live operational demonstrations where required. The signatories acknowledge that MBOR may request additional supporting material or independent verification and undertake to cooperate fully with any such procedures. Certified true copies of the core documents referenced in this chapter are lodged within the certified annexes to enable prompt review and adjudication.

SECTION 9: RECORD CLAIM #8: FIRST THEMEPARK WITH A FULLY ENGINEERED MULTI-DIVISION MASCOT SYSTEM

9.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad formally submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas operates the first fully engineered, multi-division mascot system in Malaysia, a deliberately integrated programme of character design, engineering, deployment and commercial governance that spans the company's thematic, agricultural, wellness and digital divisions. The mascot system is an intentionally architected corporate capability, authored and controlled by the company, and deployed across live entertainment, retail, educational programming and digital platforms. The materials presented in this chapter demonstrate that the mascot system is not merely representational branding but a purpose-built operational subsystem with technical specifications, costume engineering standards, licensed usage rules, training and safety protocols, and an internal licensing regime that governs cross-divisional utilisation and third-party partnerships. The evidence included herein establishes the system's multi-division reach, technical maturity and commercial governance, thereby supporting the claim that Puyuh Emas is the first themepark operator in Malaysia to field such an engineered mascot architecture.

9.2: Scope & Components of the Mascot System (design, operational use, licensing)

The mascot system's scope encompasses five integrated component layers: creative conception and IP governance; costume and engineering specification; operational deployment and safety procedures; merchandising and licensing controls; and digital integration for platform-enabled interactions. Creative conception includes canonical character biographies, behavioural taxonomies and narrative positioning that ensure consistent portrayal across contexts. Costume and engineering specifications document materials, internal support structures, thermal and ventilation requirements, visibility and mobility constraints, and maintenance cycles necessary for safe, repeatable performance. Operational deployment describes role profiles for performers, scheduled appearance matrices, rehearsal standards, handover protocols and emergency extraction procedures that prioritise performer safety and guest welfare. Merchandising and licensing controls are governed by an internal licensing agreement template and SKU-level product specifications to ensure brand integrity and quality in third-party products. Digital integration connects characters to Oracle Nexus for membership activations, event triggers and personalised guest

journeys, enabling characters to function as both physical ambassadors and nodes in the wider digital experience network. Together these components form an engineered system whose operational and commercial behaviour is governed by formal documentation and enforceable internal policies.

9.3: Documentary Justification (mascot master document, costume specs, deployment logs)

Documentary justification for the mascot system is provided by the Mascot Master Document, which consolidates origin narratives, character design language, permissible usage matrices and the internal licensing regime that authorises division-level deployment. Complementary engineering documentation sets out costume construction drawings, acceptable materials lists, service and maintenance checklists, and testing protocols used during commissioning. Operational deployment is evidenced by systematic logs showing scheduled character appearances, show scripts, performer rosters, training attendance records and incident logs where applicable; these logs confirm that characters are actively used across park attractions, Academy education sessions and retail engagement programmes. The dossier further includes third-party workshop certifications for costume manufacture, supplier declarations regarding material safety, and photographic and video documentation of mascots performing in live shows and interacting with guests in both physical and digital contexts. These combined records prove that the mascot system is both authored and operationalised under professional engineering and governance standards.

9.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (deployment instances, IP filings)

The validation methodology applied to this claim triangulates canonical IP ownership, documented deployment instances and technical compliance evidence. IP ownership is demonstrated through internal IP registers, copyright and trademark filings where lodged, and chain-of-creation records that tie creative assets to the Mascot Master Document. Deployment validation uses time-stamped show logs, Oracle Nexus event records and corroborating photographic evidence to demonstrate repeated, sanctioned use across multiple divisions. Technical compliance is verified through supplier certificates, workshop sign-offs and maintenance logs tied to unit identifiers for each costume. Where necessary, independent third-party attestations from costume engineers and performance safety experts are provided to confirm adherence to stated engineering and thermal comfort tolerances. The methodology results in a verifiable evidence trail that links creation, technical realisation and live operational use under the company's internal licensing and governance framework.

9.5: Comparative Analysis (other mascot programmes)

The comparative analysis reviews known mascot programmes across Malaysian themeparks and large attractions to evaluate scope, technical engineering, governance and cross-divisional integration. While branded mascots and promotional characters exist in other venues, the analysis shows that those programmes typically lack one or more of the engineered-system attributes presented here: comprehensive costume engineering specifications, documented internal licensing and cross-division governance, integrated digital activation through a central platform, or articulated health-and-safety engineering for performer welfare. The dossier provides a reasoned assessment of peer programmes and demonstrates that, when measured against the multi-layered criteria of design engineering, commercial governance and digital integration, the Puyuh Emas mascot system is distinct in its systemic completeness and operational maturity. The comparative findings are supported by public records, industry literature and direct observations, all of which are cited in the evidence compendium.

9.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All documentary materials supporting this chapter are indexed in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and are referenced by appendix codes to enable efficient review. Principal appendices include the Mascot Master Document (Appendix M-MAST), costume engineering drawings and materials specifications (Appendix M-ENG), supplier manufacture and workshop certificates (Appendix M-MFG), scheduled deployment logs and Oracle Nexus event extracts showing platform activations (Appendix M-DEP), performer training records and safety drill documentation (Appendix M-TRAIN), merchandising SKU mappings tied to mascot IP (Appendix M-MERCH), and third-party attestations from costume safety and ergonomics consultants (Appendix M-ATST). Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, issue dates and a designated contact point for verification, and sensitive materials are made available under controlled access to MBOR reviewers to balance verification needs with commercial confidentiality.

9.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits a signed certification from authorised company officers affirming that the Mascot Master Document and associated engineering, deployment and licensing records are accurate and complete to the best of the company's knowledge. The certification confirms that the mascot system is wholly authored or controlled by the company, that costumes and deployments comply with the documented engineering and safety requirements, and that the company will facilitate any inspection, interview or

demonstration required by the Malaysia Book of Records. The company accepts MBOR's prerogative to request further independent validation and commits to provide access to original manufacturing and maintenance records, to host site visits and to arrange technical interviews with responsible engineers and creative leads as part of the adjudication process.

SECTION 10: RECORD CLAIM #9: FIRST MULTI-DIVISION NARRATIVE UNIVERSE CREATED BY A THEMEPARK ENTITY

10.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to establish that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first themepark-operated enterprise in Malaysia to author, govern and operationally deploy a purpose-built narrative universe that is intentionally integrated across multiple corporate divisions. The claim rests on demonstrable evidence that a single, company-owned canon and story bible underpins coordinated use of characters, plots and worldbuilding across the physical park, published literary works, merchandising and retail, agricultural enterprise initiatives, consumer wellness lines and the company's digital platform. The documentation included in this chapter shows that the narrative universe is not a collection of loosely affiliated creative assets, but rather a governed IP architecture with formal usage rules, cross-division licensing, authored content streams and measurable deployment across at least three distinct operational domains at the time of submission. The materials cited herein are drawn from the company's Mascot Master Document, canonical literary works and the corporate profile that together evidence authorship, governance and breadth of operational use.

10.2: Definition & Scope (divisional integration, cross-use of IP)

For adjudicative clarity the "Multi-Division Narrative Universe" is defined as a single authored canon and governance framework that is demonstrably used and managed by one corporate entity and that materially informs products, services or activities in three or more organisational divisions. The scope for this submission includes the creative canon (story bible and canonical texts), character IP, formal licensing and usage rules, and measurable instances of deployment across divisions such as park operations (attraction design, shows and guest experiences), publishing (multi-book series and canonical novel), merchandising and retail, agribusiness storytelling (brand-linked quail enterprise), consumer wellness branding, and digital activations integrated through Oracle Nexus and WebHydra. The evidentiary threshold requires that each division's use of the canon be deliberate, documented and subject to internal governance, thereby distinguishing this integrated universe from incidental or one-off licensing arrangements. The evidence bundle demonstrates that AK Puyuh Emas Berhad meets these criteria by virtue of documented creative governance, published narrative assets and cross-division deployment records.

10.3: Documentary Justification (division plans, narrative integration maps)

Documentary justification for the claim is provided by a coherent set of corporate artifacts showing the creation, custody and operationalisation of the narrative universe. The Mascot Master Document contains the canonical character profiles, worldbuilding notes and usage rules that operate as the story bible for Rakuna and supporting characters; this master document explicitly prescribes usage across divisions and establishes licensing principles. Published materials, comprising the Academy book series and the canonical novel, supply concrete narrative content authored under company control and formally published with production metadata. Division plans and integration maps within the corporate profile demonstrate how canonical themes and characters were embedded into attraction design, educational programming and retail assortments, while digital architecture documentation shows linkage points where characters drive membership journeys, event triggers and donation flows. The combined documentary record thereby links creative origin to applied usage and evidences a governance pathway that ensures canonical integrity across company divisions.

10.4: Evidence Methodology (cross-division usage metrics)

The evidentiary method adopted for this chapter triangulates canonical ownership, formal publication and measurable operational use. Canonical ownership is substantiated by provenance evidence and the Mascot Master Document which records authorship and internal custody. Publication is evidenced by the Academy series and the novel, whose files and metadata confirm that the narrative works are authored, edited and prepared for distribution under company control. Operational use is verified through division-level metrics such as frequency of character appearances in park programming, SKU mappings linking retail products to canonical characters, membership campaigns and digital activations routed through the company's WebHydra/Oracle Nexus infrastructure, and agribusiness branding activity that ties product lines to character narratives. Each data stream is referenced to source files, timestamps and system extracts held in the Evidence & Verification Compendium so that MBOR reviewers can reproduce counts, map timelines and validate that the canon is actively governing cross-divisional behaviour rather than merely being referenced opportunistically.

10.5: Comparative Analysis (national examples)

The comparative assessment positions AK Puyuh Emas Berhad's integrated universe against known national examples and demonstrates material distinction. While some Malaysian attractions maintain mascots or have produced licensed tie-in merchandise, the dossier shows that few, if any, possess the formal story bible, multi-book publication output and documented digital integration that characterise the Puyuh Emas universe. The comparative analysis examines public records, industry literature and observable deployments from peer operators and finds that Puyuh Emas combines depth of authored narrative content, formal IP governance and deliberate cross-divisional operationalisation in a manner not yet evidenced elsewhere in Malaysia. The dossier therefore concludes that, on the balance of documented evidence and within the definitional scope applied, AK Puyuh Emas Berhad's universe represents a national first. All comparator sources and the rationale for inclusion or exclusion are provided in the evidence compendium to enable MBOR's independent verification.

10.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All materials referenced in support of this chapter are indexed in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and are accessible via the appendix codes supplied in the dossier index. Primary supporting items include the Mascot Master Document and related governance artifacts (Appendix ND-MAST), the Academy book series files and publication metadata (Appendix ND-BOOKS), the canonical novel and manuscript history (Appendix ND-NOVEL), division integration maps and attraction design notes demonstrating narrative embedding (Appendix ND-INTEG), Oracle Nexus and WebHydra extracts evidencing digital activations and membership tie-ins (Appendix ND-DIGI), merchandising SKU lists linking products to canonical characters (Appendix ND-MERCH), and corroborative photographic and video evidence of cross-division activations (Appendix ND-PHOTO/VID). Each appendix entry contains custodial metadata, creation dates and a designated contact for verification. Sensitive creative assets are provided under controlled access for MBOR-assigned reviewers to protect commercial confidentiality while permitting full verification.

10.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a formal corporate declaration signed by authorised officers attesting to the accuracy and completeness of the materials submitted in support of this claim. The declaration affirms that the canonical materials are original, that the publication metadata and division deployment records are accurate to the company's knowledge, and that the company will facilitate any on-site inspection, technical interview or file-level review requested by the Malaysia Book of Records. The signatories accept MBOR's right to conduct independent verification and commit to cooperate fully with any additional procedures necessary to validate ownership, authorship and the cross-divisional deployment metrics underlying this record claim.

SECTION 11: RECORD CLAIM #10: FIRST THEMEPARK TO PUBLISH AN OFFICIAL EPIC NOVEL

11.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the company is the first themepark operator in Malaysia to author, commission and publish an official epic novel that is canonically bound to its park IP and operational universe. The novel operates as a foundational narrative artefact for the Puyuh Emas universe, informing character motivations, park storytelling, attraction themes and downstream creative assets. The materials presented in this chapter evidence authorship, publication credentials and operational deployment of the novel as a formal, published work under company control, and they document the ways in which the novel functions as both a literary publication and a living element of the park's narrative architecture. The dossier provides manuscript records, publisher correspondence, distribution evidence and integrated usage examples that together substantiate the claim in accordance with Malaysia Book of Records standards.

11.2: Definition & Scope (canon novel, publication credentials)

For the purposes of adjudication, an "official epic novel" is defined as a long-form, single-title narrative work authored or commissioned by the submitting entity, published with verifiable publication credentials (publisher name, publication date, ISBN or formal publisher metadata where issued), and substantively linked to the submitting entity's intellectual property such that the novel establishes canonical elements used in operational or commercial contexts. The scope of this chapter covers the canonical novel's manuscript history, editorial record, publication metadata and the recognized distribution channels by which the work has been made available to the public. The definition requires demonstrable traceability between the novel's content and park IP, and it requires that publication credentials meet formal industry norms so that the work can be independently verified as a published title. Evidence presented in this dossier adheres to these definitional requirements and supports the claim that the novel is both a literary work and an operational canon for the Puyuh Emas ecosystem.

11.3: Documentary Justification (manuscript, publication evidence)

Documentary justification for the novel claim is drawn from the manuscript files, editorial correspondence, publisher confirmations and distribution records retained by AK Puyuh Emas Berhad. Manuscript version histories and editorial change logs establish authorship, editorial provenance and the chronology of production. Publisher evidence, which may include publisher letters, imprint metadata, ISBN registration where available, or publisher catalogue entries, confirms the formal publication status of the title. Distribution and inventory records such as printing invoices, shipment manifests, retailer consignment notes or platform distribution reports demonstrate that the novel has been prepared for and made available to readers through recognised channels. Where applicable, proof copies, publication proofs and any legal deposit records are provided to corroborate publication claims. The combination of manuscript provenance and publisher/distribution documentation forms a verifiable chain of evidence linking the work to formal publication and to the submitting entity's canon.

11.4: Evidence & Measurement Methodology (publication metadata)

The evidentiary method for this claim foregrounds publication metadata as the primary verification mechanism. Publication metadata includes publisher name, imprint details, date of publication, edition number, ISBN or other cataloguing identifiers, print-run statements and distributor confirmations. Where ISBN registration has been obtained, the dossier provides registry extracts; where formal ISBNs are not present, the dossier supplies publisher letters and production proofs that meet industry-accepted thresholds for publication verification. Measurement of publication status also accounts for distribution reach—physical copies printed and shipped, digital uploads to distribution platforms, and placement in recognised retail or institutional catalogues. All metadata and distribution figures are documented with primary-source artefacts such as publisher invoices, print house confirmations and distribution platform receipts, and these artefacts are presented in the evidence compendium to permit independent validation.

11.5: Comparative Analysis (previous park-related novels)

The comparative analysis reviews available national precedents for park-originated novels and park-related long-form literary productions to determine whether any prior themepark operator in Malaysia has produced a canonically bound epic novel meeting the definition established above. The assessment examines public records, publisher catalogues and observable deployments by other parks and attractions, and it distinguishes promotional or single-title novelty booklets from long-form published novels that are canonically integrated

into park IP. The dossier shows that while a small number of parks may have produced brochures, activity books or promotional storybooks, there is no verified precedent within Malaysia of a themepark operator authoring and formally publishing an epic novel that functions as canonical IP and is evidentially integrated into park operations and franchise use. All comparator searches, exclusion rationale and source citations are documented in the evidence compendium to enable MBOR reviewers to reproduce the comparative logic.

11.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All supporting documentation for this chapter is organised within the Evidence & Verification Compendium and referenced by appendix codes for MBOR review. Principal appendices include the canonical novel manuscript and version history (Appendix N-MANUS), publisher correspondence and publication metadata (Appendix N-PUB), print house invoices and distribution manifests (Appendix N-PRINT/DIST), proof-of-deposit or library registration records if available (Appendix N-REG), samples of the published book as distributed in park retail or external outlets (Appendix N-SAMPLE), and demonstrable instances of the novel's use in park programming or interpretive materials (Appendix N-USE). Each appendix entry contains custodian contact details, dates of issue and any confidentiality caveats; where creative files are commercially sensitive they are made available under controlled access to MBOR-appointed verifiers.

11.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a formal corporate declaration signed by authorised officers attesting to the accuracy of the manuscript provenance, publication metadata and distribution records submitted in support of this claim. The declaration confirms that the novel is an original company-authored or company-commissioned work, that publication credentials presented are accurate to the company's knowledge, and that the company will facilitate any independent verification procedures requested by the Malaysia Book of Records, including publisher confirmation, examination of print archives and interviews with editorial or production personnel. The signatories acknowledge MBOR's right to request further evidence and commit to full cooperation in the interest of transparent and rigorous adjudication.

SECTION 12: RECORD CLAIM #11: MOST COMPREHENSIVE MASCOT DEVELOPMENT DOCUMENT BY A MALAYSIAN BRAND

12.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the company's Mascot Master Document represents the most comprehensive mascot development dossier produced by a Malaysian brand to date. The document is presented as an integrated engineering, creative and governance artefact that combines canonical character design, costume engineering, operational deployment protocols, safety and ergonomics specifications, merchandising standards, licensing templates and digital integration requirements into a single, controlled master file. The claim is supported by evidence of the document's breadth, depth and operational use across divisions, demonstrating that the asset is not a promotional brief but a corporate-grade engineering and IP governance instrument that governs the life cycle of mascot assets from conceptualisation through manufacture, deployment, maintenance and commercial exploitation.

12.2: Definition & Scope (comprehensiveness criteria)

For adjudicative clarity the term "most comprehensive" is operationalised through explicit criteria that measure scope, technical depth, governance coverage and lifecycle completeness. Scope is defined by the range of content areas included in the document, including but not limited to character biographies, visual identity systems, costume engineering drawings, material specifications, thermal and ventilation requirements, performer ergonomics, maintenance schedules and recommended vendor qualifications. Technical depth is assessed by the level of engineering detail provided, such as cross-sectional costume schematics, joint and fastening tolerances, materials performance data and test protocols. Governance coverage is measured by the presence of licensing templates, permitted usage matrices, quality assurance checkpoints, and documented approval workflows for cross-division usage. Lifecycle completeness requires evidence that the document addresses planning, manufacture, commissioning, deployment, in-service maintenance, incident response and end-of-life disposal or refurbishment. The application of these criteria enables an objective comparative metric for comprehensiveness that is reproducible by independent reviewers.

12.3: Documentary Justification (document contents, length, depth)

The documentary justification consists of the Mascot Master Document itself together with its technical annexes and operational appendices. The master file includes detailed character bibles that record origin stories, behavioural taxonomies and permitted narrative uses; high-resolution artwork and pattern masters for costume manufacture; engineering schematics for internal support frames, cooling channels and visibility ports; standardized materials lists with supplier references and safety certifications; testing protocols for thermal comfort, structural integrity and articulation; maintenance checklists with service intervals and parts lists; performer training curricula and competency assessment tools; and internal licensing agreements that specify commercial terms, royalty flows and quality audits. Collectively these components produce a document package whose page count, number of technical diagrams, and breadth of annexed certifications exceed the usual scope of mascot or character guidelines produced by regional brands, and the dossier therefore asserts the document's exceptional comprehensiveness as evidenced by its composite elements and depth of technical and governance content.

12.4: Evidence & Comparative Methodology (metric for comprehensiveness)

The evidentiary methodology for assessing comprehensiveness utilises a multi-dimensional metric that scores documents across four weighted axes: content breadth (number of discrete content modules present), technical density (quantity and granularity of engineering diagrams and specifications), governance robustness (presence and specificity of licensing, QA and approval processes), and lifecycle coverage (extent to which the document addresses planning through to disposal). Each axis is defined with measurable sub-criteria and a scoring rubric that permits numerical comparison against peer documents. Documentary artifacts are counted, indexed and summarised in a document inventory that records page counts, diagram counts, annex counts and certification instances, while a catalogue of deployed outcomes links document prescriptions to operational reality. The methodology is transparent, reproducible and designed for independent audit; it provides MBOR with a replicable mechanism to evaluate whether the Mascot Master Document meets or exceeds national comparators under consistent measurement conditions.

12.5: Comparative Analysis (peer documents)

The comparative analysis surveys available mascot and character documentation from national and regional brands, using public filings, corporate publications and voluntarily disclosed creative briefs as comparator inputs. Each comparator is evaluated using the same

multi-dimensional metric described above, and notable differentials are highlighted where the Mascot Master Document provides greater technical specificity, broader governance instruments or deeper lifecycle prescriptions than peer materials. The analysis explains inclusion and exclusion rules for comparators, addresses cases where documentation is partially confidential and therefore not fully comparable, and identifies the material dimensions in which the Puyuh Emas master document is demonstrably more complete. Where public comparator data are unavailable or fragmented, the dossier notes the evidentiary limitations and proposes targeted verification steps to MBOR reviewers; nevertheless, the comparative matrix supplied in the evidence compendium shows a clear margin by which the Puyuh Emas document exceeds typical regional practice in scope and technical depth.

12.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All materials cited in support of this claim are indexed within the Evidence & Verification Compendium and referenced here for rapid reviewer access. Principal appendices include the full Mascot Master Document (Appendix MD-MASTER), the costume engineering annex with drawings and materials lists (Appendix MD-ENG), thermal and ergonomics test reports (Appendix MD-TEST), performer training curricula and competency records (Appendix MD-TRAIN), internal licensing templates and commercial quality standards (Appendix MD-LIC), supplier qualification dossiers and manufacturer certifications (Appendix MD-SUPP), and documented instances where the master document's prescriptions were implemented during production and deployment (Appendix MD-CASE). Each appendix entry contains custodial metadata, version control history and contact details for the responsible custodial officer, and sensitive files are provided under controlled access arrangements for MBOR-appointed verifiers.

12.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes an authorised declaration signed by corporate officers certifying that the Mascot Master Document and all accompanying technical annexes and operational files are authentic, complete and in current operational use. The declaration confirms that the document was produced under company authority, that it has been applied in manufacture and deployment, and that the company will facilitate any further verification steps required by the Malaysia Book of Records, including access to original engineering files, supplier confirmations and test laboratories. The signatories acknowledge MBOR's right to request additional third-party verification and commit to cooperate fully with any such processes to enable rigorous, transparent adjudication of the record claim.

SECTION 13: RECORD CLAIM #12: FIRST THEMEPARK WITH A MULTI-TIER DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE ECOSYSTEM

13.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first themepark in Malaysia to design, authorise and operate a deliberately engineered, multi-tier digital infrastructure ecosystem that combines edge-enabled on-site services, a resilient enterprise integration fabric, advanced compute capability for analytics and model training, and purpose-built experiential layers for guest engagement. The digital stack has been developed as an enterprise-grade platform under the Oracle Nexus identity and commerce layer, the WebHydra presentation and edge topology, the HydraCore computational backbone, HydraGenesis data integration fabric and Project AURORA experiential layer. The body of evidence assembled demonstrates realized deployments, operational telemetry, governance documentation and cross-division integrations that together show this infrastructure is a production system actively supporting admissions, retail commerce, membership, supply-chain provenance, social-program transparency and adaptive guest experiences. The dossier supplies architectural schematics, deployment proofs and technical validation artifacts to permit rigorous independent verification.

13.2: Scope & Technical Definition (Oracle Nexus, WebHydra, HydraCore etc.)

For the purposes of adjudication the multi-tier digital infrastructure ecosystem is defined as a coordinated assembly of software, networking and compute components organised into logical tiers that collectively provide identity and entitlement management, customer-facing presentation and edge services, stateless application logic, high-throughput data processing, machine learning model training, and secure data provenance services. Oracle Nexus functions as the authoritative customer and entitlement layer providing single-sign-on, membership management, e-commerce and transaction provenance. WebHydra denotes the multi-tier presentation and edge topology that places latency-sensitive services at the park edge while consolidating enterprise services in resilient cloud or colocated hosts. HydraCore refers to the high-performance compute cluster and orchestration fabric used for analytics, model training, and batch processing. HydraGenesis is the integration fabric that reconciles master data, event streams and cross-division records to create a single enterprise view. Project AURORA is the experiential execution layer that dynamically composes narrative-driven guest experiences by combining sensor inputs, membership state and content assets.

The claim is limited to a single, company-operated estate where these layers are integrated into production operations and are used in the delivery of public-facing park services and company-controlled commercial lines.

13.3: Documentary Justification (architecture diagrams, deployment proofs)

Documentary justification for the claim is provided through the suite of technical artifacts that record design, deployment and operational status. Architecture diagrams and topology maps describe physical and logical segregation of tiers, the security and dataflow patterns, redundancy zones and edge points-of-presence. Deployment proofs include system build logs, initial commissioning reports, service activation tickets, change-control histories and authenticated screenshots of operational dashboards. Operational evidence further comprises runbooks, capacity planning sheets, system performance baselines and documented integration points with on-site controllers and third-party hospitality systems. The dossier includes signed statements from the engineering leads, annotated architecture diagrams showing deployed instances at the park site, and extracts of Oracle Nexus configuration demonstrating membership and entitlement flows in production. These items collectively demonstrate that the digital ecosystem is fully designed, commissioned and actively used in a production capacity to deliver guest- and operations-facing services.

13.4: Evidence & Technical Validation Methodology (system logs, uptime, integrations)

The technical validation methodology applies reproducible criteria that demonstrate operational reality and production maturity. Evidence categories include time-series system logs showing transactional throughput, application performance monitoring traces, uptime and availability reports for critical services, integration test matrices that document successful end-to-end flows between tiers, and security audit trails evidencing authentication, authorisation and transaction provenance. Validation also relies on sampled telemetry from edge endpoints within the park showing real visitor interactions, synthetic transaction tests that prove resilience under load, and scheduled backup and recovery tests that demonstrate continuity capability. For machine learning and analytics components, validation includes model training records, data versioning logs and experiment reproducibility manifests. All technical artifacts are provided with provenance metadata, timestamps and custodial signatures so that MBOR-appointed technical reviewers can reproduce the tests or conduct live verification exercises at agreed times.

13.5: Comparative Analysis (national digital maturity)

The comparative analysis positions the Puyuh Emas digital ecosystem against national benchmarks for entertainment and attractions, evaluating architectural completeness, production maturity and functional breadth. The analysis surveys domestic theme parks and major attractions for the presence of integrated membership platforms, edge presentation topologies, enterprise-grade compute clusters, and formal data-provenance mechanisms. Where competitor public information is available, the dossier reconciles feature parity and highlights the distinctions in scope, specifically the co-location of high-performance compute for model training (HydraCore), an enterprise master-data fabric (HydraGenesis), and a canonical experiential orchestration layer (Project AURORA) integrated with operational safety and social transparency programs. The analysis demonstrates that while elements of these capabilities may exist standalone in other venues, the deliberate multi-tier integration and production deployment across guest-facing, commercial and social-program functions at Puyuh Emas is unique in the Malaysian context. Comparative source material and summary findings are referenced to allow MBOR assessors to reproduce the evaluative logic.

13.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All artifacts supporting this claim are collated in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and cross-referenced by appendix codes for expedited review. Key appendices include the Oracle Nexus architecture and configuration extracts (Appendix D-ORACLE), WebHydra topology and edge deployment diagrams (Appendix D-WEBHYDRA), HydraCore compute architecture, capacity planning and model-training manifests (Appendix D-HYDRACORE), HydraGenesis integration mappings and master-data schemas (Appendix D-GENESIS), Project AURORA experiential flowcharts and sample orchestration scripts (Appendix D-AURORA), system uptime and service-level reports (Appendix D-UPTIME), security and compliance audit notes (Appendix D-SEC), and sample integration test results with timestamps (Appendix D-INTTEST). Each appendix entry includes custodian contact details, creation and deployment dates, and any applicable controlled-access instructions for sensitive artifacts. The compendium further includes signed attestations from engineering leads and third-party technical advisors who participated in design and commissioning.

13.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides an authorised technical declaration signed by the Chief Technology Officer and the Head of Operations certifying that the digital infrastructure artifacts and operational proofs contained herein are authentic, that the stated services are live and supporting public operations, and that the company will facilitate any additional on-site

technical validation, interviews with system engineers or live demonstrations requested by the Malaysia Book of Records. The signatories acknowledge that MBOR may require independent evidence or third-party technical review and commit to provide access to system logs, scheduled verification windows and qualified personnel to assist assessors in reproducing the validation steps described in this chapter. The declaration and supporting attestations are lodged in the certified annexes to enable MBOR to proceed with technical adjudication under transparent and auditable conditions.

SECTION 14: RECORD CLAIM #13: FIRST THEMEPARK TO INTEGRATE AUTONOMOUS WEB ARCHITECTURE

14.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first themepark in Malaysia to design, commission and operate an integrated autonomous web architecture—hereafter referred to as “Hydra Athers”—that brings together edge-controlled device orchestration, policy-driven automation, human-in-the-loop governance and auditable control channels to support routine park operations, environmental management and public-facing experiential systems. The evidence supplied demonstrates that Hydra Athers is a production-grade operational framework actively performing automated tasks under defined safety and escalation rules, that it is functionally integrated with the park’s guest services and digital orchestration layers, and that it is subject to governance and fail-safe mechanics assuring auditable, reversible and human-supervised autonomy. The materials included in this chapter present the design rationale, operational runbooks, autonomy metrics, and third-party attestations that together establish the system’s novelty and operational maturity within the national attractions sector.

14.2: Technical Definition of “Autonomous Web Architecture” (Hydra Athers capabilities)

For adjudicative clarity, “Autonomous Web Architecture” denotes a layered software and device orchestration framework that supports secure device identity, policy-driven automation, locally executed control logic at the edge, telemetry ingestion and event-stream processing, and a human-in-the-loop escalation model for safety-critical decisions. Hydra Athers, as implemented at Puyuh Emas, integrates edge gateways with sensor arrays and actuator endpoints to perform scheduled and conditional actions—such as water treatment cycle activation, pump sequencing, environmental monitoring and non-critical environmental controls—while continuously assessing operational thresholds against predefined safety policies. The architecture supports deterministic automation for routine tasks and probabilistic decisioning for optimisation problems, with all autonomous actions recorded in an immutable event log and subject to supervisory review. Critically, Hydra Athers is engineered with layered fail-safes that require human confirmation before any action that materially affects guest safety or critical infrastructure, and it includes rollback and manual override pathways to ensure predictable, auditable and reversible behaviour.

14.3: Documentary Justification (design docs, operational runbooks)

Documentary justification for the Hydra Athers claim comprises the architecture and design documents that define device identity, secure command-and-control channels, policy schemas and automation playbooks; operational runbooks that describe lifecycle management, exception workflows and escalation trees; commissioning reports that evidence the staged deployment and testing of automation sequences; and integration records showing Hydra Athers' interfaces with the enterprise orchestration layers such as Oracle Nexus and WebHydra. The dossier includes annotated architecture diagrams tracing sensor-to-actuator flows, sample automation scripts illustrating policy-driven behaviours, acceptance testing reports that validate fail-safe conditions, and documented training records for staff responsible for supervising autonomous operations. Commissioning sign-offs and third-party engineering attestations demonstrate that the autonomous modules were trialled under controlled conditions, that safety-critical pathways were exercised and validated, and that production deployment followed a staged pilot-to-scale process.

14.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (autonomy metrics, safety controls)

The validation methodology for Hydra Athers focuses on reproducible autonomy metrics and demonstrable safety controls. Autonomy metrics include the proportion of routine tasks executed autonomously versus manually, the rate of automation success (actions completed without human intervention), mean time to human escalation for exceptions, false-positive and false-negative rates for automated anomaly detection, and the volume of auditable event logs generated per time unit. Safety controls are evidenced by policy schemas that require escalation for actions exceeding defined thresholds, manual-confirmation gates for safety-impacting commands, redundant communications channels for critical commands, and automated rollback procedures that restore safe states upon anomaly detection. Validation artifacts include time-stamped system logs tracing automated actions, test harness results from simulated anomaly scenarios, third-party penetration and safety testing summaries, and recorded drill outcomes documenting staff responses to automation escalations. Together, these artifacts allow independent reviewers to reproduce autonomy statistics, to audit safety-control efficacy, and to verify that Hydra Athers operates within the documented governance envelope.

14.5: Comparative Analysis (innovations within Malaysia)

The comparative analysis evaluates Hydra Athers against known automation and operational orchestration efforts in Malaysian attractions and related public-facing venues. While automation is increasingly used for discrete tasks in many facilities—such as HVAC scheduling, access control, or isolated IoT deployments—Hydra Athers is distinguished by its production integration across guest operations, environmental management and narrative-driven experiential systems, its combination of edge-executed policy logic with enterprise orchestration, and its deliberate human-in-the-loop safety model that balances autonomy with auditable operator control. The analysis demonstrates that the system’s layered fail-safe approach, immutable event logging for every autonomous action, and the documented gating criteria for safety-critical interventions represent a novel operational posture for a themepark environment in Malaysia. Where comparator projects exist, the dossier discloses their scope and explicitly maps differences in scale, integration depth and safety governance to allow MBOR assessors to reproduce the evaluative comparison.

14.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

Supporting documentation for this chapter is collated in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and includes the Hydra Athers architecture and policy schema documents, commissioning and acceptance test reports, operational runbooks describing escalation and override pathways, time-stamped automation logs from representative periods showing autonomous action sequences and human escalations, third-party safety and security assessment summaries, and annotated interface specifications demonstrating Hydra Athers’ integration with Oracle Nexus and WebHydra orchestration layers. Also included are training records for staff supervising autonomous functions, recorded drill outcomes, and sample rollback scripts used during commissioning tests. Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, creation dates and contact references for technical custodians to enable efficient MBOR verification.

14.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a formal technical and corporate declaration signed by the Chief Technology Officer and Head of Park Operations certifying that the Hydra Athers documentation, operational logs and validation artifacts are authentic, that the autonomous system is live and performing the described functionality under the documented governance framework, and that the company will facilitate any additional audits, interviews or live demonstrations requested by the Malaysia Book of Records. The signatories acknowledge MBOR’s right to request independent third-party technical review and undertake to provide access to relevant personnel, secure review windows for live system inspection, and any further artifacts necessary to enable rigorous adjudication of this record claim.

SECTION 15: RECORD CLAIM #14: MOST COMPREHENSIVE DIGITAL ECOSYSTEM BLUEPRINT PRODUCED BY A MALAYSIAN SME

15.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the company's digital strategy documentation and blueprint represent the most comprehensive, enterprise-grade digital ecosystem design produced by a Malaysian small or medium enterprise to date. The blueprint integrates system architecture, operational runbooks, data governance, platform economics, resilience planning and experiential orchestration into a single, interoperable design that has been authored, approved and partially deployed by the company as part of its live operations. The dossier demonstrates that the technical scope, the level of operational detail and the alignment between digital architecture and commercial/social program objectives exceeds typical SME practice in Malaysia, thereby meriting national recognition.

15.2: Definition & Scope (blueprint components and depth)

For adjudicative clarity the term "digital ecosystem blueprint" is defined to include architecture schematics for presentation, application and data tiers; edge and on-site topology plans; compute and storage capacity matrices; integration fabrics and master-data schemas; security and compliance architectures; business process-to-technology mapping; experiential orchestration flows for guest journeys; validation and commissioning runbooks; capacity planning and disaster recovery policies; and the programme-level governance documents that align digital performance to social and commercial KPIs. The scope of the claim requires that these components be assembled into a single, coherent blueprint that is used as the authoritative reference for design, procurement, commissioning and operational governance. The Puyuh Emas blueprint meets these criteria by unifying Oracle Nexus, WebHydra, HydraCore, HydraGenesis and Project AURORA into a single document set that explicitly links technical design to operational and social objectives.

15.3: Documentary Justification (blueprint files, modelling outputs)

The documentary record supporting this claim includes annotated architecture diagrams, capacity planning spreadsheets, integration mappings, system-of-record schemas, runbooks for deployment and recovery, service-level definitions and costed implementation roadmaps. It also includes modelling outputs used for capacity planning and cost forecasting, performance test reports from commissioned services, and the staged deployment logs evidencing initial production activation. Together these artifacts demonstrate both the depth of engineering detail and the practical viability of the blueprint: they show not only conceptual design but also the instrumentation required for real-world delivery and ongoing operations. Representative files, commissioning logs and engineering attestations are provided in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and are cross-referenced in the appendices to permit full technical review.

15.4: Evidence & Comparative Methodology (scope metrics)

The methodology used to demonstrate comprehensiveness employs measurable scope metrics that capture component breadth, technical density and operational maturity. Component breadth is quantified by enumerating discrete blueprint modules (for example identity, payments, edge, integration, compute, orchestration, observability and governance). Technical density is assessed by counting engineering artefacts such as detailed diagrams, runbook procedures, test scripts and data schemas. Operational maturity is evaluated through evidence of deployment, uptime records, integration test results and governance artifacts demonstrating use in procurement and operations. Each metric is translated into a reproducible scoring rubric so that peer documents can be compared on common grounds. The methodology and scoring rubrics are included in the dossier to permit MBOR-appointed evaluators to replicate the assessment and to confirm that the Puyuh Emas blueprint attains a higher composite score than typical SME counterparts.

15.5: Comparative Analysis (SME benchmarks)

The comparative analysis benchmarks the Puyuh Emas blueprint against a curated set of SME-produced digital artefacts and public technical disclosures from domestic peers. The analysis recognises that many SMEs maintain discrete technical documents but rarely produce integrated blueprints that encompass both high-velocity experiential layers and enterprise-grade resilience and governance. Where comparator artifacts are publicly available, they are evaluated using the same metrics and scoring rubric applied to the Puyuh Emas materials; where comparator artifacts are partially confidential, the dossier documents the evidentiary limitation and uses conservative assumptions. The conclusion of the comparative assessment is that while elements of the Puyuh Emas design may appear individually in other organisations, no comparable SME blueprint in Malaysia combines the proposed depth, operational deployment evidence and cross-functional alignment found in

the Puyuh Emas compendium. Full comparator summaries and the rationale for inclusion or exclusion are presented in the evidence appendices.

15.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All supporting artifacts for this claim are catalogued in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and are referenced directly in the appendices for reviewer access. Principal appendices include the unified digital architecture dossier (Appendix DE-ARCH), WebHydra and Oracle Nexus deployment diagrams and configuration extracts (Appendix DE-WEBHYDRA / DE-ORACLE), HydraCore capacity plans and model-training manifests (Appendix DE-HYDRACORE), HydraGenesis integration schemas and master-data registries (Appendix DE-GENESIS), Project AURORA experiential orchestration samples (Appendix DE-AURORA), system performance baselines and uptime logs (Appendix DE-UPTIME), security and compliance reports (Appendix DE-SEC), and the costed implementation roadmap used by management for procurement and phase gating (Appendix DE-FIN). Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, version history and a designated technical contact to expedite MBOR's review and any necessary technical queries.

15.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal technical declaration signed by the Chief Technology Officer and the Chief Executive Officer certifying that the digital ecosystem blueprint and supporting artifacts are complete, accurate and representative of the company's authoritative design for production systems. The declaration confirms that the blueprint has been used to guide procurement, commissioning and operational practices, that the modelling and performance outputs are authentic, and that the company will facilitate any additional independent technical reviews, live demonstrations or records inspections requested by the Malaysia Book of Records. The signatories acknowledge MBOR's right to seek third-party validation and commit to provide access to engineers, system logs and controlled verification windows necessary to reproduce tests and confirm the comprehensiveness metrics presented in this chapter.

SECTION 16: RECORD CLAIM #15: FIRST AI-DRIVEN COMMUNITY-ASNAF DIGITAL SYSTEM BY A THEMEPARK

16.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the organisation has developed and deployed the first AI-driven Community-Asnaf digital system implemented by a themepark operator in Malaysia. The system, known as Hydra-Asnaf, is a purpose-built, auditable platform that combines donor transparency, beneficiary selection, outcome-based disbursement controls and traceable impact reporting, and it is integrated into the company's enterprise digital fabric to enable end-to-end provenance of funds and outcomes. The materials in this chapter demonstrate that Hydra-Asnaf is not a conceptual pilot but a production-capable system that has been documented, piloted, instrumented for traceability, and designed to scale in coordination with the themepark's community programmes and membership ecosystem. The evidence provided includes workflow definitions, dashboard screenshots, pilot transaction logs and program governance documentation to permit rigorous independent verification of system capabilities and social outcomes.

16.2: Scope & Technical Definition (Hydra-Asnaf modules)

For adjudicative clarity Hydra-Asnaf is defined as a modular digital system composed of the following capabilities: beneficiary registry and identity linkage, donor transaction capture and immutable receipt issuance, automated eligibility scoring using explainable AI models, conditional disbursement workflows tied to verified deliverables, audit trails for every transaction, and public-facing transparency dashboards that permit donors and regulators to trace funds to verified outcomes. Technically the platform is implemented as a set of microservices that integrate with the enterprise master data layer (HydraGenesis) and the membership and entitlement layer (Oracle Nexus), with data provenance, role-based access controls and cryptographically timestamped audit logs designed to ensure traceability and non-repudiation. The system's AI components are used to prioritise case assignments, to triage service delivery sequencing and to flag anomalies for human review; all automated decisions produce human-readable rationales to satisfy ethical and audit expectations. This technical scope positions Hydra-Asnaf as a production-class socio-technical system that coordinates programmatic, financial and verification workflows across company divisions.

16.3: Documentary Justification (workflow, dashboards, pilot data)

Documentary justification for Hydra-Asnaf is provided by program design documents, workflow diagrams, pilot implementation reports and live dashboard extracts. The program design materials describe legal trust arrangements, beneficiary selection criteria, disbursement conditions and third-party partnership roles; the workflow diagrams map end-to-end processes from donor engagement through verification and conditional release. Pilot reports include anonymised transaction logs demonstrating traceable fund flow, timestamped proof-of-deliverable records, and outcome summaries for sampled beneficiaries, while dashboard screenshots exhibit donor-facing transparency features and administrative oversight capabilities. Third-party memos and letters of collaboration with local social agencies and Asnaf trustees further corroborate the programmatic intent and operational linkages. Collectively these documents prove that the Hydra-Asnaf system has been specified, instrumented and exercised on live data under governed conditions and that the system's controls produce verifiable audit trails suitable for MBOR review.

16.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (transaction traceability, audits)

The validation methodology for this claim emphasises reproducible transaction traceability and independent auditability. Each donor transaction is recorded with a unique transaction identifier, cryptographic timestamping and a linked set of verification artifacts that capture beneficiary acceptance, service delivery evidence and third-party confirmation where applicable. The methodology prescribes reconciliations between donor receipts, on-platform ledger entries, payment gateway records and beneficiary acknowledgements, and it defines audit procedures to detect divergence or leakage. AI model outputs used for eligibility scoring are versioned and stored with model metadata, training data provenance and performance logs; automated decisions are accompanied by explainability summaries and are subject to human override where policy requires. Validation also includes a set of sample audits that replicate traceability for selected transactions from donor to outcome, producing independently verifiable chains of custody for funds and services. The dossier includes exemplar audit workpapers, reconciliation reports and the schema of the immutable event log to allow MBOR-appointed verifiers to reproduce and validate the platform's end-to-end traceability.

16.5: Comparative Analysis (social digital systems in Malaysia)

The comparative analysis situates Hydra-Asnaf within the national landscape of social-welfare and donation-flow systems and demonstrates the platform's distinctiveness when operated by a themepark entity. While Malaysia hosts a range of digital donation platforms

and zakat or charity systems, Hydra-Asnaf is characterised by its combined attributes of explainable AI-driven beneficiary governance, integrated donor-to-outcome traceability embedded within an enterprise membership and commerce fabric, and conditional, outcome-based disbursement controls designed to shift donor flows from passive transfer to verified empowerment. The analysis compares functional capabilities, auditability, and governance posture, and shows that Hydra-Asnaf's emphasis on immutable audit trails, integrated membership provenance and explainable automation represents a practical innovation for private-sector social programming, particularly when combined with a revenue-generating enterprise that can sustain recurrent operational costs. Comparator summaries and source references are provided in the evidence compendium to permit MBOR reviewers to reproduce the assessment.

16.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All documents and artifacts supporting this chapter are catalogued within the Evidence & Verification Compendium and are cross-referenced by appendix code for rapid access. Principal appendices for Hydra-Asnaf include the program design and legal trust documents (Appendix HA-DESIGN), workflow and integration diagrams (Appendix HA-WF), anonymised pilot transaction logs and reconciliations (Appendix HA-PILOT), dashboard extract files and donor-facing transparency pages (Appendix HA-DASH), AI model documentation and versioned metadata (Appendix HA-AI), third-party memoranda and partner MOUs (Appendix HA-PARTNERS), and exemplar audit workpapers demonstrating traceability from donor to beneficiary outcome (Appendix HA-AUDIT). Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, issuance dates and verification contacts; sensitive data is provided in anonymised or redacted form where necessary while full records are made available under controlled conditions to MBOR-appointed verifiers.

16.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a formal corporate and technical declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Head of Social Programs certifying that the Hydra-Asnaf documentation and pilot records presented are authentic and that the system has been exercised under governed conditions. The declaration affirms the company's commitment to transparency and to facilitating independent audits or live verification sessions requested by the Malaysia Book of Records, and it confirms that all presented artefacts are subject to the company's data governance policies and may be made available to MBOR under appropriate confidentiality arrangements. The signatories acknowledge the seriousness of the submission and commit to providing the necessary personnel, secure review windows, and extant records required to enable a rigorous, auditable adjudication of the claim.

SECTION 17: RECORD CLAIM #16: FIRST THEMEPARK WITH AN ASNAF SOVEREIGN TRANSFORMATIVE PROGRAM

17.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first themepark in Malaysia to design, formalise and operate an Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program that combines legally constituted stewardship arrangements, outcome-based disbursement mechanics and transparent, auditable reporting to deliver durable socioeconomic uplift for identified Asnaf beneficiaries. The program is architected as a durable public-private modality that aligns private enterprise incentives with sovereign social objectives, and is implemented with governance constructs intended to ensure traceable fund flow, independent verification and performance-linked disbursement. The materials assembled in this chapter document the program's legal form, operational protocols, pilot outcomes and oversight arrangements that together demonstrate the program's novelty, operational reality and potential for scale.

17.2: Program Definition & Scope (legal structure, trust arrangements)

For the purposes of adjudication the Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program is defined as a legally framed initiative that places donor, corporate and public resources into a governed vehicle or trust whose disbursement rules are conditioned on verified deliverables and long-term empowerment outcomes for eligible Asnaf recipients. The program scope includes the legal instruments that create the stewardship arrangement, the trustee and oversight composition, the eligibility and case-management rules, the conditionality matrix that ties disbursements to verifiable outputs, and the transparency mechanisms that expose transaction flows to public or authorised scrutiny. The legal structure contemplates ring-fenced funds, independent auditing rights, memoranda of understanding with public agencies and non-governmental partners, and a clear mandate for social investment that prioritises durable capacity building such as accredited training placements, income-generating support and verified livelihood transitions rather than solely consumptive transfers. The program documentation in this chapter precisely describes these structural elements and demonstrates conformance to statutory and fiduciary norms.

17.3: Documentary Justification (program docs, MOUs, pilot evidence)

The documentary basis for the program comprises the formal program design dossier, the trust or stewardship instrument drafts, signed memoranda of understanding with partner agencies and NGOs, operational standard operating procedures for beneficiary selection and service delivery, and pilot implementation reports that record sampled disbursements and measured outcomes. The program design dossier articulates theory of change, governance roles and the data architecture used for beneficiary verification and impact tracking. MOUs with partner organisations define the responsibilities of referral, verification and service delivery while pilot evidence documents the transaction history, deliverable confirmations, and early outcome measures for participating beneficiaries. Together, these documents create an auditable chain connecting corporate intent, legal stewardship, program operations and measurable beneficiary outcomes; key items are provided in the evidence compendium to permit MBOR to validate authorship, dates and the operational execution of the pilot and ongoing program.

17.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (beneficiary tracking, outcomes)

The validation methodology employed by the program emphasises end-to-end traceability from resource commitment to beneficiary outcome. Each intervention is recorded in a secure ledger with unique identifiers linking donor commitments, disbursement transactions, third-party verification artifacts and beneficiary acceptance records. Beneficiary tracking uses a combination of digital identity linkage, photographic and documentary proofs of deliverable receipt, and third-party attestations where appropriate. Outcomes are measured against pre-specified indicators aligned to the program's theory of change, including vocational credential attainment, verified employment placements, enterprise revenue milestones and demonstrable uplift in household economic indicators over defined time horizons. The program's validation protocols include randomised spot checks, independent audits of selected transaction chains, and periodic external evaluations to corroborate reported outcomes. The dossier supplies exemplar validation workpapers and reconciliations from pilot cycles to illustrate the reproducibility of the methodology and the integrity of traceable outcomes.

17.5: Comparative Analysis (social program precedents)

The comparative analysis positions the Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program within the national ecosystem of social assistance and philanthropic initiatives and highlights its distinctive attributes relative to conventional donation or zakat disbursement models. While traditional welfare transfers and zakat distributions provide important support, they are often

structured as unconditional disbursements or administered through legacy processes that lack integrated digital traceability and enforceable outcome conditionality. The Puyuh Emas program differs by combining conditional, outcome-based disbursement with enterprise integration that links social investment to accredited training, employment pipelines within the park and supplier development pathways. The analysis demonstrates that similar outcome-oriented constructs exist in isolated pilot projects but that a private enterprise operating at the scale of a theme park, with integrated digital provenance and legally ring-fenced stewardship, represents a novel combination in the Malaysian context. Comparative sources and analytic rationale are documented to enable MBOR to reproduce the assessment.

17.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All documentation supporting this chapter is catalogued in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and is cross-referenced with appendix codes to facilitate rapid assessment. Principal appendices include the program design and legal instrument drafts (Appendix ASP-DESIGN), signed memoranda of understanding with partner agencies and NGOs (Appendix ASP-MOU), pilot implementation reports and anonymised transaction logs (Appendix ASP-PILOT), beneficiary tracking schemas and sample verification artifacts (Appendix ASP-TRACK), external evaluator memos and audit workpapers (Appendix ASP-AUDIT), and governance charters for the oversight committee (Appendix ASP-GOV). Each appendix entry contains custodial metadata, issuance dates and verifier contacts; sensitive personal data is redacted or anonymised in accordance with data protection protocols, while full records are made available under secure, controlled review arrangements for MBOR appointees.

17.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a formal corporate declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Head of Social Programs certifying that the program documents, MOUs and pilot evidence presented are authentic and accurate to the best of the company's knowledge. The declaration affirms the company's readiness to facilitate independent verification, site visits and access to third-party auditors engaged to replicate sample traceability chains from donor to verified outcome. The signatories acknowledge that MBOR may require further independent evaluation and undertake to cooperate fully with any such requests, providing personnel, program records and secure review windows necessary to enable comprehensive adjudication of the Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program claim.

SECTION 18: RECORD CLAIM #17: FIRST 100% TRANSPARENT DIGITAL DONATION FLOW SYSTEM IN KELANTAN

18.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the organisation operates the first end-to-end, 100 per cent transparent digital donation flow system in Kelantan, a platformed capability that captures donor commitments, records real-time transaction provenance, links each disbursement to verifiable beneficiary receipts and exposes an auditable public interface for stakeholder scrutiny. The system is presented as a production-grade module of the enterprise digital fabric and is used in active donor-facing campaigns and Asnaf stewardship programmes. The materials provided in this chapter demonstrate the technical design for immutable transaction logging, the operational controls that ensure reconciled disbursement, and the public reporting surfaces that provide donor-facing transparency. The evidence supports the proposition that funds received through the platform can be traced programmatically from donor payment to final verified outcome, thereby meeting a demonstrable standard of full digital traceability unprecedented in the Kelantan context.

18.2: Technical & Operational Definition (traceability, reporting)

For adjudicative clarity the “100 per cent transparent digital donation flow system” is defined as a platformed capability that implements four essential functions in production: first, precise and timestamped capture of donor transactions with unique identifiers and cryptographic or system-level tamper-evident markings; second, a ledgered reconciliation mechanism that joins donor records to payment gateway confirmations and internal accounting entries; third, a conditional disbursement engine that links fund release to verified deliverables or beneficiary acknowledgements and records the full chain-of-custody; and fourth, public-facing reporting interfaces that allow donors, auditors and authorised third parties to inspect transaction flows, summary metrics and outcome documentation. Operationally, the system requires integration between membership and entitlement services, payment and gateway records, the Hydra-Asnaf verification workflow, and the public dashboarding layer. The dossier evidences each element of this definition with architecture schematics and operational descriptions that show how traceability and reporting are achieved in live operations.

18.3: Documentary Justification (transaction logs, dashboard screenshots)

Documentary justification is provided by a curated set of primary records demonstrating production operation of the donation flow system. Core artifacts include anonymised transaction logs showing unique transaction identifiers, timestamps, payment gateway confirmations and reconciliation markers; ledger extracts linking receipt to conditional disbursement records; timestamped dashboard screenshots that display donor-visible traces and transparency reports; and sample beneficiary acknowledgement artifacts that close the loop on fund delivery. Additional supporting items include donor receipt templates, API integration logs between payment gateways and the enterprise ledger, and example donor queries and their resolution records. Where privacy concerns arise, transaction-level data are presented in redacted or anonymised form while still retaining the full traceability chain for verification by MBOR-appointed reviewers under secure conditions. These materials collectively document that the donation platform records and reports the end-to-end flow required to substantiate a claim of full transparency.

18.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (audit trail, reconciliation)

The validation methodology for this claim is designed to be reproducible by independent auditors and MBOR assessors. Validation begins with a sample-based audit in which selected donor transactions are traced from the donor-facing record through payment gateway confirmations, internal ledger entries, disbursement authorisations and final beneficiary receipts. Each step is validated against cryptographic or timestamped evidence in the immutable event log and reconciled with bank or gateway statements to detect any divergence. The methodology further prescribes reconciliation workpapers that demonstrate double-entry alignment between received funds and disbursed outcomes, exception-logging procedures for disputed items, and periodic independent reconciliations performed by third-party auditors. For AI-assisted eligibility or conditionality, the methodology includes model-version provenance checks and human-verification sign-offs that corroborate automated decisions. The dossier provides exemplar audit workpapers and reconciliation samples to illustrate the practical application of the methodology and its capacity to produce an independently verifiable audit trail.

18.5: Comparative Analysis (regional donation transparency)

The comparative analysis situates the Puyuh Emas donation flow system within the regional and national panorama of donation and zakat platforms, emphasising measurable differences in provenance, traceability and public reporting. While several regional platforms provide donor receipts or periodic reports, the dossier demonstrates that few systems in Kelantan or

the immediate region implement an integrated ledger-to-outcome public trace that programmatically links donor payment to a verified beneficiary acknowledgement with immutable timestamps and reconcilable ledger entries. The analysis cites public platform disclosures, NGO reporting practices and government-backed charity portals and contrasts them with the production artifacts from the Puyuh Emas system to show the novel combination of enterprise integration, conditional disbursement and public transparency. All comparator sources and the rationale for distinctions are documented so that MBOR reviewers can reproduce the comparative assessment.

18.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All evidentiary materials supporting this chapter are indexed in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and cross-referenced with appendix codes for MBOR review. Primary appendices include anonymised sample transaction logs with unique transaction identifiers (Appendix TD-TRAN), ledger reconciliation workpapers and bank or gateway confirmations (Appendix TD-RECON), donor-facing dashboard screenshots and archival captures (Appendix TD-DASH), sample beneficiary acknowledgement documents and photographic receipts (Appendix TD-BEN), API logs demonstrating system integrations (Appendix TD-API), third-party audit summaries where available (Appendix TD-AUDIT), and procedures for redaction and secure reviewer access to full transaction data under non-disclosure. Each appendix entry contains custodial metadata and designated verification contacts; sensitive data are made available in secure, controlled-review conditions to permit full audit while protecting donor and beneficiary privacy.

18.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal corporate declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Head of Finance certifying that the donation flow system materials submitted in this chapter are accurate, that the anonymised transaction samples truthfully represent live production behaviour, and that the company will facilitate independent audit exercises and secure data access for MBOR-appointed verifiers. The declaration affirms the organisation's commitment to transparent stewardship of donor funds and acknowledges that MBOR may require additional independent verification or controlled access to unredacted records to adjudicate the claim. The signatories commit to cooperate fully with such verification procedures and to provide the logistical support and personnel necessary to enable rigorous, auditable confirmation of the donation flow system's end-to-end transparency.

SECTION 19: RECORD CLAIM #18: FIRST RURAL THEMEPARK TO FUNCTION AS A SOCIAL-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT HUB

19.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad hereby submits this chapter in support of the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first rural-based themepark in Malaysia to operate explicitly and demonstrably as a social-economic development hub. The claim is grounded in the park's deliberate integration of commercial operations with supplier development programmes, accredited vocational and industrial training pipelines, community employment initiatives, local procurement commitments and measurable socioeconomic interventions targeting durable outcomes for local households and microenterprises. The evidence presented in this chapter demonstrates that the park's core operating model intentionally channels economic value into the surrounding community through structured programmes, that these programmes are documented, governed and audited, and that early implementation data indicate meaningful impact consistent with the stated theory of change. The dossier therefore positions the park not merely as an attraction but as a functioning economic node that advances local industry revitalisation and sustained community development.

19.2: Definition & Scope (hub functions and indicators)

For adjudicative clarity the term “social-economic development hub” is defined as an operational locus where a commercial enterprise intentionally deploys its assets, procurement power and human capital to generate measurable and sustained economic and social uplift in its immediate rural hinterland. The hub functions covered by this definition include but are not limited to: formal supplier development programmes that convert informal local producers into accredited vendors; structured internship and vocational training placements tied to recognized competency outcomes; preferential local procurement targets with tracked spend and supplier upgrade plans; incubation or microenterprise support for productisation and market access; and deliberate employment pipelines prioritising local hiring and wage progression. Core indicators used to measure hub performance include number of local suppliers formalised, local procurement spend as a percentage of total purchases, number of accredited training completions and subsequent job placements, documented increases in partner enterprise revenues, and social outcome metrics such as household income persistence or reductions in underemployment among targeted cohorts. The scope of this claim is spatially bounded to the park's defined rural catchment and temporally bounded to

the period during which documented interventions and measured outcomes have been executed and recorded.

19.3: Documentary Justification (supplier lists, training programs, impact metrics)

Documentary justification for this claim is provided by a structured set of corporate artefacts that evidence programme design, operational execution and tracked outcomes. Supplier lists and supplier-integration records document the identities of local vendors, the commencement dates of formal procurement arrangements, capacity-building interventions delivered to each supplier, and certificates of compliance or quality issued following technical assistance. Training programme documentation includes formal curricula, partnership agreements with educational institutions, industrial training acceptance letters, trainee attendance records, competency assessments, and placement confirmations demonstrating transition into remunerated roles. Impact metrics are embodied in monitoring and evaluation reports that present baseline and follow-up data on targeted indicators, financial reconciliation of local procurement spend, case studies of supplier growth, and dashboard extracts from the corporate monitoring system that track social KPI progress over time. These materials collectively demonstrate that supplier development, workforce training and local economic uplift are not ad hoc but are planned, resourced and measured components of the park's operating model.

19.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (economic indicators, longitudinal data)

The validation methodology adopted for this chapter employs a mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative economic indicators with qualitative verification and longitudinal tracking. Quantitative elements include reconciled procurement ledgers that isolate spend with local suppliers, payroll and employment records evidencing local hiring and wage trajectories, certified counts of accredited training completions, and revenue or sales growth figures reported by partnered microenterprises. Longitudinal validation uses repeated measurements over defined intervals to demonstrate persistence of outcomes rather than one-off effects, and it applies counterfactual reasoning—where possible through matched comparisons of similar nearby localities—to strengthen causal interpretation. Qualitative validation comprises independent beneficiary interviews, supplier audit reports, third-party testimonials from partner institutions, and documented site visits by external advisors. Audit trails, timestamped system extracts from Oracle Nexus and WebHydra where procurement and training records are recorded, and reconciled financial statements are provided to permit MBOR-appointed verifiers to reproduce the measurement steps, validate data provenance and confirm that reported indicators reflect durable socioeconomic change in the park's rural catchment.

19.5: Comparative Analysis (regional development hubs)

The comparative analysis situates the park's hub model against regional precedents for enterprise-led rural development, examining both private-sector initiatives and public programmes that aim to catalyse local economic activity. The review distinguishes conventional corporate-social-responsibility activities from hub models that embed suppliers, training and employment into the core value chain of a commercial operation. Using documented criteria—depth of supplier integration, formal accreditation pathways, measurable procurement commitments, and evidence of longitudinal economic outcomes—the analysis demonstrates that the Puyuh Emas model presents a novel combination of scale, formal governance and operational integration for a rural themepark in Malaysia. The dossier references regional case studies, public programme reports and NGO project summaries to clarify contrasts and to underline how the park's structured approach produces direct and traceable economic benefits within its rural catchment. All comparator sources, inclusion rationales and quantitative contrasts are provided in the evidence compendium for independent reproduction by MBOR reviewers.

19.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All evidentiary materials cited in this chapter are catalogued in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and cross-referenced via appendix codes for MBOR review. Principal appendices supporting the hub claim include the verified local supplier register and procurement reconciliation reports (Appendix HUB-SUPPLY), training curricula, industrial training acceptance letters and trainee competency assessment records (Appendix HUB-TRAIN), monitoring and evaluation reports showing baseline and follow-up indicators (Appendix HUB-M&E), case studies and supplier growth narratives (Appendix HUB-CASE), payroll and local employment registries evidencing hiring pipelines (Appendix HUB-EMPLOY), and third-party assessor reports or letters of partnership from educational and NGO collaborators (Appendix HUB-PARTNERS). Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, version history and a named contact for verification; where commercially sensitive financial data exist these are provided under controlled-access conditions to MBOR-appointed verifiers in order to preserve confidentiality while enabling full audit.

19.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal corporate declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Head of Strategic Growth and Innovation affirming that the

documents and metrics presented in this chapter are true, accurate and complete to the best of the company's knowledge. The declaration confirms the company's willingness to facilitate independent verification, including site visits, supplier interviews, examination of procurement ledgers, and access to anonymised trainee records under appropriate confidentiality safeguards. The company acknowledges MBOR's right to seek third-party validation and undertakes to cooperate fully with any such verification procedures, providing designated personnel, secure review windows and the original source files required to reproduce the monitoring and evaluation outcomes described in the dossier. The signatories understand that material misstatement may affect adjudication and accept responsibility for the representations made in support of this social-economic hub claim.

SECTION 20: RECORD CLAIM #19: FIRST FULLY INTEGRATED FIVE-DIVISION ENTERTAINMENT–TECH–AGRICULTURE ECOSYSTEM

20.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the company has created and operates the first fully integrated five-division ecosystem in Malaysia that intentionally and operationally fuses an enterprise artificial intelligence division (Akinova), a themepark and live entertainment division (Rakuna), a quail agricultural and value-chain division (Quailexia), a consumer wellness and product division (Elvyra), and an enterprise digital infrastructure and platform division (Oracle Nexus / WebHydra and allied systems) into a single, governed commercial, technical and IP architecture. The claim rests on documentary evidence demonstrating authored integration rules, AI-driven orchestration and decisioning, formal cross-licensing instruments, shared commerce pipelines, unified membership entitlements, and measurable inter-division flows that show the ecosystem functions as a coherent economic and experiential system under unified corporate stewardship rather than as discrete business lines. The dossier materials establish that Akinova is not a standalone research artefact but a production division that provides IP stewardship, predictive commerce orchestration, operational optimisation and content-personalisation capabilities which materially enable cross-division monetisation and governance.

20.2: Definition & Scope (division interplay and integration mechanisms)

For adjudicative clarity the five-division ecosystem is defined as an organisational model in which five distinct operational divisions are formally integrated by contractual, technical and governance mechanisms that enable reutilisation of canonical IP, AI-mediated orchestration of customer journeys, cross-division revenue flows, and coordinated operational processes. Akinova's role as Corporate AI encompasses canonical IP indexing, personalised guest experience composition, dynamic entitlement management, supply-chain optimisation for Quailexia outputs, and risk and safety analytics for Rakuna operations. Oracle Nexus and WebHydra provide the identity, entitlement and edge presentation fabric that instrument and monetise cross-division interactions; Rakuna provides the primary experiential entry points that activate membership journeys; Quailexia supplies vertically integrated agricultural inputs and provenance metadata; and Elvyra converts IP and supply provenance into consumer wellness products distributed through park retail and digital commerce channels. The scope

requires evidence of at least three formal integration mechanisms: AI governance and model stewardship (Akinova artefacts), cross-licensing or internal IP usage agreements that permit canonical assets to flow between divisions, and platform-level orchestration (Oracle Nexus/WebHydra) that records and monetises cross-division transactions. The dossier maps these mechanisms and demonstrates how they operate in practice across all five divisions.

20.3: Documentary Justification (division documents, cross-licensing records)

Documentary justification for the ecosystem claim is comprised of division charters and operating mandates, the Mascot Master Document and canonical story bible establishing IP ownership and permitted usage, Akinova technical and governance documentation showing model registries and decision-policy templates, formal cross-licensing agreements and internal usage templates that specify entitlements and revenue allocation, procurement records that demonstrate Quailexia's supply into park retail assortments, product development records linking Elvyra formulations to canonical branding, and platform integration artifacts showing membership entitlement flows, SKU mappings and event-triggered commerce. Board minutes and strategic plans are included to evidence corporate adoption of the integrated model and the governance constructs used to administer inter-division revenue allocation and IP stewardship. Technical interface specifications and event trace examples demonstrate how Akinova's AI models, Oracle Nexus entitlements and WebHydra presentation layers coordinate to deliver personalised experiences and drive measurable cross-division revenue. Together, these documents prove that creative content, physical product, agricultural supply and AI-driven platform orchestration are contractually and technically connected under the company's operational regime.

20.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (inter-division metrics)

The validation methodology uses a reproducible metric set that measures both the degree and the economic effect of integration and explicitly includes AI-driven indicators. Primary metrics include the proportion of retail SKUs derived from internal agricultural outputs, the percentage of merchandise and Elvyra sales attributable to canonical IP campaigns orchestrated by Akinova, cross-division revenue share percentages, membership-driven cross-purchase conversion rates, AI-influenced uplift in per-head spend as measured by A/B test control windows, model attribution logs linking personalised recommendations to downstream product conversions, and technical metrics showing event-driven orchestration such as membership-triggered product entitlements, automated supply reorders to Quailexia, and predictive maintenance interventions affecting Rakuna ride availability. Validation triangulates system extracts from Oracle Nexus and WebHydra, audited financial schedules or internal transfer records, SKU-to-supplier provenance mappings, Akinova model run logs and attribution manifests, and timestamped event logs that demonstrate customer journeys

moving between divisions. End-to-end transaction traces (for example: a guest buys admission, Akinova composes a personalised Rakuna itinerary, Oracle Nexus issues an entitlement coupon, the guest redeems a character-branded Elvyra product sourced from Quaillexia, and the SKU sale is reconciled and routed into inter-division accounting) are provided as validation samples so MBOR appointees can reproduce the inter-division metrics and verify operational reality.

20.5: Comparative Analysis (corporate ecosystem precedents)

The comparative analysis evaluates national and regional precedents where corporate entities operate multiple, interlinked business lines and contrasts those models with the Puyuh Emas design to show the difference in formal integration depth and AI orchestration. While large conglomerates and resort groups may operate diversified portfolios, the analysis demonstrates that few if any Malaysian entities at SME scale have implemented an internal AI division (Akinova) that both governs canonical IP and actively composes personalised commerce and operational workflows across an active themepark, agriculture supply chain and consumer product line in production. The dossier highlights the novelty of an SME in Malaysia that natively fuses a live themepark, an authored narrative universe, an agricultural supply chain delivering provenance-backed inputs, a consumer wellness product line, and a production AI division integrated through an enterprise digital fabric to enable real-time orchestration and monetisation. Comparator exclusions and conservative assumptions are documented so MBOR assessors can reproduce the evaluative logic and confirm the claim's distinctiveness.

20.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All evidentiary materials underpinning this chapter are catalogued in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and referenced by appendix codes for MBOR review. Principal appendices include the division charters and inter-division governance notes (Appendix ECO-CHARTER), the Mascot Master Document and canonical IP governance bible (Appendix ECO-IP), Akinova artefacts comprising model registry exports, decision-policy templates, model attribution manifests and sample run logs (Appendix ECO-AKINOVA), SKU mapping and procurement records tying Quaillexia outputs to retail SKUs (Appendix ECO-SUPPLY), Oracle Nexus membership entitlement flows and WebHydra event orchestration extracts showing cross-division transactions (Appendix ECO-ORACLE), financial reconciliation schedules and inter-division revenue-sharing workpapers (Appendix ECO-FIN), product development records linking Elvyra formulations to canonical narratives and manufacturing provenance (Appendix ECO-PROD), and representative end-to-end transaction traces with redacted PII for privacy protection (Appendix ECO-TRACE). Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, relevant dates and a named technical or commercial contact for verification; where sensitive commercial and model-training details

exist they are made available to MBOR under controlled access arrangements to permit full verification while preserving confidentiality.

20.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal corporate declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Operating Officer and the Head of Akinova certifying that the documents, system extracts and financial reconciliations provided in support of this claim are accurate, complete and reflective of the company's integrated operations. The declaration confirms that Akinova operates as a production AI division responsible for model stewardship, attribution and orchestration used in commercial operations; that integration artifacts are authentic and in active use; and that the company will facilitate independent verification, provide live transaction windows for cross-division traceability testing, and make key personnel available for technical and commercial interviews as part of MBOR's assessment. The signatories acknowledge MBOR's right to require additional third-party technical validation of AI model behaviour or financial reconciliations and commit to full cooperation, providing necessary secure access and original source files to enable rigorous adjudication of the claim that AK Puyuh Emas Berhad operates the first fully integrated five-division entertainment–tech–agriculture ecosystem in Malaysia.

SECTION 21: RECORD CLAIM #20: LARGEST MULTI-DIVISION PRIVATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PORTFOLIO IN KELANTAN

21.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the company maintains the largest multi-division private intellectual property portfolio domiciled in Kelantan, comprising jointly held and division-specific copyright works, registered trademarks, design schematics, trade dress, documented know-how and controlled digital assets that underpin the Rakuna theme park, Qualexia agricultural line, Elvyra consumer products, the Oracle Nexus/WebHydra platform, and the corporate AI division Akinova. The portfolio is presented as a strategically curated asset base that is actively governed, commercially exploited, and recorded with internal registers and external filings where applicable. The submission demonstrates portfolio scale by enumerating registered filings, documented unpublished but controlled canonical works, commercial licences and executed assignments, and by applying a defensible valuation methodology to aggregate declared IP value for comparative purposes. Evidence cited herein includes formal IP documentation, canonical creative files, registered marks and the company's internal IP inventory.

21.2: Definition & Scope (IP categories counted and valuation approach)

For adjudicative clarity the portfolio is defined to include the following categories of intellectual property: registered and pending trademarks and service marks; registered copyrights and copyright deposit records for literary works, novels, book series, scripts and master creative assets; industrial design registrations and engineering schematics for attractions and costume systems; trade dress and distinctive product design files for Elvyra and merchandise; domain names and platform software copyright for Oracle Nexus, WebHydra and Hydra components; documented proprietary algorithms, model architectures and model-training assets controlled by Akinova; and confidential know-how and process documentation formally recorded in the company's IP register. The valuation approach used for comparative presentation combines recorded registration counts with conservative replacement cost estimates for unpublished assets and with revenue-attributable multipliers for commercialised IP, producing a defensible aggregate figure that is transparently footnoted and reconciled to primary source records. The scope intentionally distinguishes company-owned IP from third-party licensed assets and counts only those assets for which the company holds demonstrable ownership, assignment or exclusive control as evidenced in the accompanying filings and internal registers.

21.3: Documentary Justification (IP registry, filings, timestamps)

Documentary justification is provided through a combined set of primary records consisting of registered filings, timestamped manuscript and design files, official correspondence with IP registries where applicable, certified internal IP register extracts, assignment agreements, and notarised attestations of creation dates. For copyrightable works the submission includes manuscript version histories, editorial logs and publisher metadata demonstrating provenance; for trademarks and service marks the dossier provides registration certificates or pending application receipts and trademark search extracts where available; for software and platform assets the dossier provides hashed source snapshots, documented release notes and domain registration records; and for design and engineering content the dossier includes dated CAD files, production sign-offs and supplier acceptance certificates. Each documentary item is cross-referenced with an internal IP identifier and timestamped custody metadata to create an auditable provenance trail that MBOR reviewers may validate.

21.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (portfolio metrics and verification)

The methodology used to validate the portfolio's scale applies a three-fold approach: an authoritative count of registered and pending filings; an inventory of controlled but unregistered canonical assets with documented creation timestamps; and a conservative economic reconciliation that maps IP assets to realised or forecasted revenue streams where commercial exploitation exists. Registered filings are verified against registry extracts or application receipts and matched to internal identifiers. Unregistered canonical assets are verified through creation metadata, editorial/version history and technical file hashes recorded in the company's custody logs. Economic mapping uses audited sales records, licensing agreements and SKU-level sales mappings to determine fractional revenue attribution to specific IP assets. Verification workpapers, a reconciliation ledger and an indexed evidence table are provided so that MBOR reviewers can reproduce counts, inspect primary documentation, and validate the conservative valuation methodology used to support the assertion of portfolio primacy within Kelantan.

21.5: Comparative Analysis (regional IP portfolios)

The comparative analysis situates AK Puyuh Emas Berhad's portfolio against available regional benchmarks, including public IP filings from comparable Kelantan entities and representative SME portfolios in the East Coast region. The analysis follows a conservative

principle of using only publicly verifiable registry data and corroborated private registers; where peer data are incomplete, the dossier documents the evidentiary limitations and applies conservative assumptions. The comparison highlights not only raw counts of filings but also the strategic depth of the portfolio—measured by cross-category breadth, commercialisation pathways and integrated platform control—which together differentiate AK Puyuh Emas Berhad from typical regional portfolios that tend to be narrower in scope or limited to a single IP category. All comparator source citations, search logs and exclusion rationales are provided in the evidence compendium to enable MBOR to reproduce the analytical conclusions.

21.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All evidentiary materials supporting this chapter are collated in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and indexed with appendix codes for rapid access. Principal appendices include the company's certified IP register extract (Appendix IP-REG), registered trademark and patent application certificates or receipts where applicable (Appendix IP-REGFIL), canonical manuscript and publication metadata for the Academy series and novel (Appendix IP-COPY), hashed software snapshots and domain registration records for Oracle Nexus and WebHydra (Appendix IP-SW), Akinova model registry exports and model-training provenance records (Appendix IP-AI), design and engineering schematics associated with ride and mascot systems (Appendix IP-DESIGN), assignment and licensing agreements (Appendix IP-LIC), and the IP valuation reconciliation ledger used to produce the conservative aggregate figure supplied for comparative purposes (Appendix IP-VAL). Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, date stamps and named verification contacts, and sensitive items are provided under controlled access to permit MBOR's appointed verifiers to inspect originals while preserving necessary confidentiality.

21.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal corporate declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Head of Legal certifying that the IP-register extracts, filing receipts, manuscript provenance records and valuation reconciliation materials submitted in support of this claim are true, accurate and complete to the best of the company's knowledge. The declaration confirms that the assets counted in the portfolio are owned, assigned or exclusively controlled by the company, that supporting primary documentation is available for independent review, and that the company will facilitate registry checks, notarised attestation or on-site inspection of originals as requested by the Malaysia Book of Records. The signatories accept MBOR's right to request further third-party validation and commit to provide the custodial access and personnel necessary to enable rigorous adjudication of the record claim.

SECTION 22: RECORD CLAIM #21: FASTEST-GROWTH ENTERTAINMENT ENTITY IN PANTAI TIMUR

22.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas qualifies as the fastest-growth entertainment entity in the Pantai Timur region over the measured evaluation window. The assertion is grounded in verifiable, time-stamped corporate and operational records that document rapid expansion across revenue, visitor throughput and developed operational footprint from inception to its present operational scale. This chapter presents a rigorous definition of growth metrics, the historical timeframes used for measurement, the documentary evidence that underpins each metric, a fully reproducible growth-rate calculation methodology, and a conservative comparative analysis against regional peers to demonstrate that, on the agreed metrics and within the stated temporal window, the organisation's rate of expansion exceeds that of comparable entities in the Pantai Timur entertainment sector. The company invites MBOR to verify the primary records and to conduct independent validation as required.

22.2: Definition & Scope (growth metric: revenue, visitors, footprint)

For adjudicative clarity the growth claim is defined across three primary metrics: revenue growth, visitor (attendance) growth and growth in developed operational footprint. Revenue growth is measured using audited or internally reconciled top-line receipts attributable to park operations, retail and membership channels, reported on a consistent accounting basis across the evaluation window. Visitor growth is measured using ticketing system extractions and membership redemption logs that record unique visitor counts and repeat visitation over comparable reporting periods. Footprint growth is measured by the increase in developed, hard-landscaped area dedicated to water-attraction assets and guest-service infrastructure as evidenced by dated site plans and as-built schematics. The temporal scope for the claim is explicitly stated in the headline claim wording and in the comparative matrices, and all metrics are normalised to annualised rates to permit direct comparison with regional peers whose reporting periods are aligned to standard fiscal or calendar periods. Only metrics attributable to AK Puyuh Emas Berhad's single contiguous operational estate are included; franchise or licensed partner expansions on separate legal sites are excluded from measurement to preserve comparability.

22.3: Documentary Justification (historical data, timeframes)

Documentary justification is provided by a time-sequenced bundle of primary records that includes audited or management-reviewed financial statements and revenue ledgers, ticketing system extracts and membership engagement logs with timestamps, dated site plans and as-built drawings showing incremental development stages, board minutes authorising expansion works, procurement and commissioning contracts for new attractions, and marketing or launch records evidencing commercial opening dates. Historical timeframes are explicitly recorded with each evidentiary item to create an auditable timeline from the park's founding to the present measurement cut-off. Where external corroboration is available—such as third-party booking platform records or published local media coverage of openings—those sources are referenced and reconciled with internal data to strengthen independent verifiability. The evidentiary bundle is organized so that MBOR reviewers can trace each reported datum to its original source and reproduce the temporal sequencing used in growth calculations.

22.4: Evidence & Growth Measurement Methodology (growth rate calculation)

The growth-rate methodology is transparent and reproducible: for each metric the compound annual growth rate (CAGR) or appropriate period-on-period percentage change is computed from the baseline value at the beginning of the measurement window to the terminal value at the end of the window, using standard financial and statistical formulae so that the results are directly comparable with industry practice. Revenue and visitor metrics are adjusted where necessary to remove one-off anomalies (for example extraordinary grants or single-event spikes) and to align accounting treatments across periods; footprint growth is measured in square metres using georeferenced cadastral overlays and certified as-built drawings. All calculations are presented with primary-source references, stated assumptions, rounding conventions and stated error tolerances. Sample calculation workpapers, raw extracts and reconciliations are included to permit auditors to reproduce the computations and to validate the claim under a range of conservative assumptions. The company affirms that the methodology is applied consistently across comparators in the regional benchmarking exercise.

22.5: Comparative Analysis (regional competitors)

The comparative analysis identifies a defined cohort of regional entertainment and attraction operators in Pantai Timur that meet inclusion criteria—single-site operations offering comparable water-attraction experiences, with publicly available or verifiable internal metrics

for the same growth window—and applies the identical measurement methodology to each comparator. Data sources for comparators include public filings, industry directories, media reports, and direct communications where available; each comparator entry documents data provenance and any limitations. The analysis demonstrates that, when measured on the agreed metrics and normalised to common temporal baselines, AK Puyuh Emas Berhad’s compound growth rates in revenue, visitor throughput and developed footprint exceed those of the comparator cohort by a material margin under conservative assumptions. The dossier includes detailed comparator tables, source citations and sensitivity checks so that MBOR assessors can reproduce or challenge the comparative findings.

22.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All supporting records for this chapter are catalogued in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and indexed by appendix codes for MBOR review. Principal appendices include audited or management-reviewed revenue ledgers and reconciliations tied to accounting periods (Appendix G-REV), ticketing and membership system extracts with timestamps and unique visitor counts (Appendix G-VIS), dated site plans and as-built development schematics evidencing footprint expansion (Appendix G-SITE), procurement and commissioning contracts with milestone dates (Appendix G-PROC), board minutes and strategic approvals for expansion phases (Appendix G-MIN), comparator data tables and source logs (Appendix G-COMP), and growth-rate calculation workpapers with stated assumptions and sensitivity checks (Appendix G-CALC). Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, file creation dates and a named contact responsible for verification; where third-party corroboration is required, the dossier details the communication records and provides contacts for independent confirmation.

22.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a formal corporate declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer certifying that the historical data, timeframes and growth calculations presented in this chapter are accurate to the best of the company’s knowledge and that the supporting primary-source documents are authentic and available for independent review. The declaration confirms the company’s readiness to facilitate MBOR’s verification activities, including provision of original accounting records, live access to ticketing system extracts, on-site inspection windows for footprint verification and contact facilitation with third-party sources used in the comparative analysis. The signatories acknowledge MBOR’s right to request third-party audit or independent validation and commit to full cooperation with any such procedures required to adjudicate the claim in a rigorous and transparent manner.

SECTION 23: RECORD CLAIM #22: FIRST THEMEPARK TO PUBLISH A MULTI-BOOK ACADEMY SERIES

23.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first themepark operator in Malaysia to originate, publish and operationally integrate a purpose-built multi-book Academy series targeted at children and families, where the series functions as both a standalone literary initiative and as a canonical, park-integrated educational franchise. The Academy series is authored under company control, published with formal production metadata, deployed within park programming and learning initiatives, and used as a source of canonical lore that feeds attraction narratives, mascot-led educational activities and membership learning pathways. This submission provides documentary provenance for the series, publication evidence, distribution and deployment records and an integrated account of how the books are embedded into the enterprise's guest experience and learning strategy.

23.2: Definition & Scope (series structure and distribution)

For adjudicative clarity the multi-book Academy series is defined as a sequence of discrete published titles sharing common characters, pedagogical themes and narrative continuity, produced with editorial rigor and distributed through formal channels. The scope for this claim requires that the series contain more than one title, that the works be authored or commissioned by the submitting entity, and that publication credentials exist in the form of publisher acknowledgements, print proofs, ISBN metadata where issued, or documented distribution arrangements. The series' operational scope extends to its active use within the park as curricular input for Academy programming, story-based attraction scripting, library or reading-corner placement, and as the semantic backbone for character-led learning experiences. Distribution scope includes park retail, direct fulfilment to members, institutional placements for schools or community partners, and any digital delivery channels used to reach readers. The dossier demonstrates that the Academy series meets these definitional elements and that the books are operationally embedded across the organisation's experiential, educational and commercial channels.

23.3: Documentary Justification (book manuscripts, publication proof)

Documentary justification for the claim is provided by manuscript version histories, editorial logs, publisher correspondence, production proofs and distribution records. Manuscript files and editorial tracking documents show authorship provenance, editorial timelines and the sequence of publication-ready versions. Publisher confirmations, print house invoices and proof copies substantiate the material production of each title in the series, and any ISBN or cataloguing metadata supplied by publishing partners is presented as formal publication evidence. Distribution records, which include consignment notes to park retail, shipment manifests to institutional partners, and digital distribution receipts where applicable, demonstrate active dissemination of the series. The dossier also provides evidence of direct operational use in the park—such as programmed storytime schedules, Academy session curricula referencing specific volumes, and photographic records of books being used within educational activities—thereby connecting the documentary production evidence to real-world deployment.

23.4: Evidence & Measurement Methodology (publication facts)

The evidentiary methodology applied to the Academy series emphasises verifiable publication facts and traceable deployment metrics. Publication facts are demonstrated through production artifacts: publisher confirmation letters, print run declarations from printers, ISBN registration extracts where available, and platform distribution receipts for any digital editions. Deployment metrics capture the frequency and scale of in-park usage—such as the number of Academy sessions referencing the books, volumes distributed through retail and member fulfilment counts—and are supported by ticketing and membership system extracts as well as retail POS reconciliations. Measurement procedures are documented so that independent auditors can reconcile manuscript timestamps to publication dates, match print-run invoices to SKU counts, and trace distributed copies to retail or institutional receivers. All calculations and reconciliations are presented with primary-source references and custodial metadata to ensure reproducibility of the verification steps.

23.5: Comparative Analysis (park-published educational content)

The comparative analysis reviews national precedents for park-originated educational and literary outputs and demonstrates the distinctiveness of a purpose-built multi-book Academy series that is both authored and operationally embedded by the submitting theme park. While some attractions may have produced single-title storybooks, activity booklets or promotional pamphlets, the dossier shows that these are typically limited in scope, distribution and canonical tie-in. The Puyuh Emas Academy series is evaluated against comparator initiatives

on criteria including number of discrete published titles, formal publication credentials, depth of narrative continuity, integration into on-site educational programming and breadth of distribution. Where comparator data are publicly available, the dossier cites and reconciles sources; where data are incomplete, the submission documents evidentiary limits and uses conservative assumptions. The analysis supports the conclusion that the Academy series represents a national first in combining multi-volume literary output with demonstrable operational integration in a themepark context.

23.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All referenced materials for the Academy series are organised within the Evidence & Verification Compendium and are indexed by appendix codes for MBOR review. Principal appendices supporting this chapter include manuscript version histories and editorial logs (Appendix AC-MANUS), publisher correspondence and production proofs (Appendix AC-PUB), print run invoices and shipment manifests (Appendix AC-PRINT), ISBN or catalogue metadata where issued (Appendix AC-ISBN), retail consignment and member-fulfilment records (Appendix AC-DIST), Academy session curricula and storytime schedules demonstrating deployed use (Appendix AC-USE), and photographic and video evidence of on-site educational activations (Appendix AC-PHOTO/VID). Each appendix entry includes custodial metadata, creation dates and designated verification contacts; sensitive creative files are provided under controlled access to enable MBOR-appointed reviewers to verify authorship while preserving necessary commercial confidentiality.

23.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal corporate declaration signed by authorised officers attesting to the accuracy of the manuscript provenance, publication records and distribution metrics supplied in support of this claim. The declaration confirms that the Academy series was authored or commissioned under company authority, that publication evidence presented is authentic to the company's knowledge, and that the works are actively used within the park's Academy programming and guest experiences. The signatories commit to facilitating any independent verification procedures requested by the Malaysia Book of Records, including publisher confirmation, inspection of print archives, access to distribution reconciliations and interviews with creative and programming leads, and they acknowledge MBOR's right to request further evidence as part of a rigorous adjudication process.

SECTION 24: RECORD CLAIM #23: MOST COMPLEX CHARACTER-TO-BUSINESS INTEGRATION FRAMEWORK IN MALAYSIA

24.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the enterprise operates the most complex and operationally integrated character-to-business framework in Malaysia, an engineered commercial architecture that converts authored narrative characters and canonical IP into coordinated revenue-generating pathways across live experiences, retail merchandise, consumer wellness products, agricultural supply chains and digital services. The company's integrated framework links canonical character assets to programmed guest journeys, AI-driven personalised commerce, provenance-backed productisation from farm to shelf, licensed merchandise pipelines and membership-driven monetisation, and is governed by formal IP rules, internal licensing templates and technical orchestration layers. The dossier materials demonstrate that this is not a simple branding exercise but a multi-layered economic system in which characters act as the primary interface for guest engagement, product provenance, training programmes and digital entitlements, producing measurable commercial outcomes and verified operational flows across divisions.

24.2: Definition & Scope (integration touchpoints and metrics)

For adjudicative precision the character-to-business integration framework is defined as the ensemble of touchpoints, contractual instruments, technical integrations and performance metrics that link character IP to commercial outputs. The scope covers six principal integration domains: attraction and live-show activation where characters lead narrative-driven experiences; merchandise and retail where character licensing maps to SKU design, manufacture and in-store placement; consumer wellness where character narratives and provenance claims are embedded into product branding and supply-chain traceability; agricultural integration where Qualexia outputs are provenance-tagged and associated with in-universe narratives; digital orchestration where Akinova and Oracle Nexus personalise offers and entitlements in real time; and training and community programmes where characters function as pedagogical anchors for accredited courses and Asnaf interventions. Metrics used to measure integration include SKU-to-character attribution rates, membership conversion uplift attributable to character campaigns, revenue share percentages across character-led product lines, provenance verification rates for agricultural inputs, frequency of character-led activations per period, and model attribution logs that link AI recommendations

to downstream commercial conversions. These touchpoints and metrics together form the operational scope that this chapter documents and validates.

24.3: Documentary Justification (Akinova + Rakuna + Quailexia + Elvyra use cases)

Documentary evidence demonstrating practical use cases is provided from the company's canon and operational archives. The Mascot Master Document and the canonical story bible set the authoritative character definitions and permitted commercial uses which are reflected in costume engineering briefs, show scripts and merchandising design masters, establishing creative-to-manufacture provenance. Akinova artefacts, including model registry exports and attribution manifests, show how personalised itineraries and product recommendations are computed and routed to Oracle Nexus entitlements, while Rakuna operational logs and show schedules demonstrate the cadence and scope of live character activations. Quailexia procurement and traceability records show how quail-derived products are serialized, provenance-tagged and routed into Elvyra formulation lines and park retail SKUs, and product development files for Elvyra outline how narrative positioning and provenance claims are legally and technically embedded into consumer packaging and marketing materials. Together these documents form a chain of creation, technical realisation and commercial deployment that connects canonical characters to tangible business outputs across divisions.

24.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (commercial tie-ins and performance data)

The validation methodology triangulates contractual, technical and transactional evidence to verify character-to-business flows and to quantify commercial performance. Contractual evidence comprises internal licensing templates, supplier agreements and merchandising manufacturing contracts showing authorised character usage and revenue-sharing terms. Technical evidence includes Oracle Nexus event logs, WebHydra orchestration traces and Akinova model attribution manifests that link a character-driven prompt or membership event to a subsequent SKU purchase or entitlement redemption. Transactional evidence consists of audited retail POS records, SKU sales reconciliations, membership conversion dashboards and supply-chain reconciliation manifests that show agricultural inputs moving from Quailexia through manufacturing into retail sale as Elvyra products or merchandise. Performance assessments use statistically controlled attribution windows and A/B test designs where possible to isolate character-driven uplift, and all numerical claims are supported by workpapers, time-stamped system extracts and third-party confirmations where available so that independent reviewers can reproduce the linkage and confirm the commercial effect.

24.5: Comparative Analysis (character commerce frameworks)

The comparative analysis benchmarks the Puyuh Emas framework against known national and regional examples of character commerce, demonstrating material distinctions in systemic complexity, operational depth and measurable commercial linkage. While other attractions employ mascots or licensed characters in discrete channels, the Puyuh Emas approach is differentiated by its unified ownership of canonical IP, its engineering of costume and safety standards, its provenance-backed agricultural inputs, its in-house AI orchestration division (Akinova) and its end-to-end platform (Oracle Nexus/WebHydra) that records and monetises character interactions across physical and digital touchpoints. The analysis documents comparator features, notes limitations in public comparator data, and uses conservative assumptions when gaps exist; nevertheless, the evidence shows that few, if any, Malaysian organisations present a similarly integrated, production-level character-to-business architecture spanning entertainment, agriculture, consumer products and enterprise AI. Full comparator source citations and analytic rationale are provided in the evidence compendium to permit MBOR adjudicators to reproduce the assessment.

24.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All documentary artefacts supporting this chapter are catalogued in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and indexed by appendix codes to facilitate rapid verification. Key appendices include the Mascot Master Document and canonical bible (Appendix CB-MAST), Akinova model registry exports and attribution manifests (Appendix CB-AI), Rakuna show schedules and operational logs evidencing character activations (Appendix CB-RAK), Quailexia supply and provenance records mapping agricultural inputs to SKUs (Appendix CB-QUAI), Elvyra product development files and packaging provenance documentation (Appendix CB-ELV), Oracle Nexus and WebHydra orchestration traces showing event-to-entitlement flows (Appendix CB-ORACLE), merchandising contracts and SKU sales reconciliations tying products to character licensing (Appendix CB-MERCH), and representative end-to-end transaction traces with redacted PII for privacy protection (Appendix CB-TRACE). Each appendix entry carries custodial metadata, version control and a named verification contact; commercially sensitive files and AI model details are made available under controlled-access provisions for MBOR-appointed technical reviewers.

24.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal corporate declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer, the Head of Akinova and the Head of Rakuna certifying that the documentary materials, system extracts and transactional workpapers presented in support of this claim are true and accurate to the best of the company's knowledge. The declaration affirms that character IP is company-owned or exclusively controlled where claimed, that Akinova model logs and Oracle Nexus extracts are authentic, and that the company will facilitate any independent verification exercises including on-site demonstrations, access to original system logs under controlled conditions and interviews with responsible personnel. The signatories acknowledge MBOR's right to request third-party validation of attribution claims or controlled reproduction of A/B tests and commit to full cooperation with any such procedures required to adjudicate the record claim rigorously and transparently.

SECTION 25: RECORD CLAIM #24: FIRST THEMEPARK WITH A CORPORATE AI DIVISION

25.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the company is the first themepark operator in Malaysia to establish, commission and operate a dedicated corporate artificial intelligence division, Akinova, which functions as a production-grade organisational unit responsible for model stewardship, decision orchestration, IP indexing and real-time commercial optimisation across the enterprise. The Akinova division is authored and governed by corporate policy, staffed with defined technical roles and operational responsibilities, and materially contributes to live park operations, personalised guest experiences, supply-chain optimisation and IP attribution. The materials assembled in this chapter demonstrate that Akinova is not a research sandbox but an operational division with documented charter, deployed models, measurable business impact and formal governance integrated into the company's enterprise fabric.

25.2: Definition & Scope (Akinova division capabilities)

For adjudicative clarity Akinova is defined as a corporate AI division whose capabilities include canonical IP indexing and retrieval, recommendation and personalization engines for guest itineraries and product offers, predictive analytics for operational readiness and preventative maintenance, demand forecasting and dynamic inventory orchestration for Quailexia and Elvyra product lines, eligibility scoring and audit explainability for social programs such as Hydra-Asnaf, and attribution and uplift measurement tools that quantify the causal effect of character-led campaigns. The division's scope also includes model governance functions: a model registry, version control, data provenance logging, explainability artifacts, retraining schedules and a documented human-in-the-loop policy for safety-critical decisions. Akinova's outputs are delivered through integration points with Oracle Nexus and WebHydra, and its role encompasses both commercial optimisation and public-good program governance within the company's five-division ecosystem.

25.3: Documentary Justification (division charter, technical specs, use cases)

Documentary justification for Akinova's existence and operational role is provided by the division charter and technical specification documents which set out mandate, organisational structure, roles and responsibilities, and governance controls. The charter records the board-level approval for establishing Akinova, the staffing model and inter-division accountability lines. Technical specifications describe system architecture, model pipelines, data schemas, API interfaces to Oracle Nexus and WebHydra, model validation processes and explainability requirement templates. Use-case dossiers illustrate Akinova's production activities, including sample personalised itinerary flows for Rakuna visitors, predictive procurement triggers for Quailexia supply replenishment, product recommendation manifests driving Elvyra retail conversions, and eligibility scoring outputs for Hydra-Asnaf workflows. These artifacts, together with commissioning reports and integration acceptance records, document both the technical realisation and the practical deployment of Akinova's capabilities in live operations.

25.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (model outputs, operational impact)

The evidence and validation methodology triangulates model artifacts, system-run logs and measured operational impact to provide reproducible verification of Akinova's functioning and contribution. Primary evidence includes model registry exports with version metadata, sample inference logs with timestamps and pseudonymised identifiers, model evaluation reports showing performance metrics and bias tests, and attribution manifests that tie recommendation outputs to downstream commercial conversions. Operational impact is demonstrated through before-and-after A/B analyses where Akinova-driven personalization produced measurable uplifts in per-head spend, product conversion rates or membership upgrades; predictive maintenance records show reductions in unplanned downtime attributable to modelled interventions; and supply-chain reconciliations illustrate reduced stockouts and improved on-shelf availability for Quailexia-derived SKUs. Validation workpapers include raw system extracts, statistical test results, retraining logs and signed attestations from technical custodians to enable independent reproduction of the claims.

25.5: Comparative Analysis (corporate AI adoption in entertainment)

The comparative analysis benchmarks Akinova's organisational model and production deployment against known AI adoption patterns in the entertainment and attractions sector within Malaysia and the region. While analytics and discrete automation have been adopted

widely, the dossier demonstrates that few comparable operators embed a formally chartered AI division that both governs canonical IP and executes production real-time orchestration across park operations, retail, agricultural supply and social-program governance. The analysis highlights differences in organisational permanence, model governance, integration depth and measurable production impact, and it documents the lack of publicly verifiable precedents in which an SME-scale themepark operates a dedicated corporate AI division with the multi-domain remit and demonstrable outputs evidenced here. Source references and comparator exclusions are provided to permit MBOR reviewers to reproduce the evaluative logic.

25.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

Supporting materials for this chapter are collated in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and indexed with appendix codes for expedient review. Key appendices include the Akinova division charter and governance manual (Appendix AI-CHARTER), model registry exports and model evaluation reports (Appendix AI-MODELS), API and integration specifications linking Akinova to Oracle Nexus and WebHydra (Appendix AI-API), sample inference logs and attribution manifests with redacted identifiers (Appendix AI-LOGS), commissioning and acceptance reports for production deployments (Appendix AI-CERT), A/B test workpapers demonstrating commercial uplift (Appendix AI-AB), and attestations from technical leads substantiating operational impact (Appendix AI-ATST). Each appendix entry contains custodial metadata, version history and designated verification contacts; sensitive model-training datasets and proprietary algorithms are made available under controlled-access arrangements to MBOR-appointed technical reviewers to enable rigorous examination while preserving intellectual property protections.

25.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal corporate and technical declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer, the Head of Akinova and the Chief Technology Officer certifying that the Akinova charter, model artifacts and operational evidence presented in this chapter are accurate and complete to the extent of the company's knowledge. The declaration affirms that Akinova operates as a production AI division with responsibilities for model stewardship, attribution and orchestration across the enterprise, and that the company will facilitate any independent verification requested by the Malaysia Book of Records, including controlled access to model registries, scheduled review windows for production log inspection and technical interviews with custodial engineers. The signatories acknowledge MBOR's prerogative to require third-party technical validation and commit to full cooperation to enable transparent and rigorous adjudication of the claim.

SECTION 26: RECORD CLAIM #25: MOST COMPREHENSIVE SOP, GOVERNANCE & REGULATORY DOCUMENTATION SET

26.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that the company maintains the most comprehensive set of standard operating procedures, governance manuals and regulatory documentation for a rural themepark and associated multi-division ecosystem in Kelantan. The body of documents presented is an enterprise-grade corpus that spans operational SOPs for attractions and water systems, safety and emergency response protocols, workforce and performer welfare manuals, procurement and supplier governance, IP custody and licensing rules, financial controls and audit procedures, data governance and privacy policies, and program-level governance for social initiatives such as Hydra-Asnaf and the Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program. The dossier demonstrates that these documents are live governance instruments actively used in operations, subject to version control and audit trails, and endorsed at board and executive levels, thereby establishing the materials as a deployable governance architecture rather than aspirational paperwork.

26.2: Definition & Scope (document categories and depth)

For adjudicative clarity the corpus is defined across categorical domains and minimum depth requirements. Document categories include: operations (ride protocols, water-treatment procedures, lifeguard and first-aid manuals), safety assurance (risk registers, permit compliance checklists, incident escalation playbooks), human resources (role descriptions, performer safety and costume-handling rules, training curricula), procurement and supplier governance (qualification standards, supplier onboarding flows, quality control checklists), corporate governance (board charters, delegation of authority, conflict-of-interest policies), financial controls (budgeting templates, CAPEX gating procedures, internal audit cycles), IP and content governance (mascot/IP master document, licensing templates, content approval workflows), data and technology governance (data classification, backup and recovery, system access control), and program governance for community initiatives (program charters, MOU templates, beneficiary validation procedures). Depth is evidenced by the inclusion of operational checklists, engineering annexes, test protocols, version histories and approval stamps that demonstrate each document's practical and enforceable character.

26.3: Documentary Justification (SOP sets, governance manuals, policies)

Documentary justification is provided by the actual document sets and corroborating artifacts retained in the Evidence & Verification Compendium. The submission includes formatted SOP collections used in daily park operations, signed safety certification records and contractor acceptance reports that reference specific operational procedures, human-resources manuals and training attendance registers, procurement policy documents linked to supplier files and purchase orders, board minutes approving governance frameworks, and legal and regulatory filings showing compliance with statutory obligations. Also included are policy change logs and communications that evidences how procedures have been applied in real incidents or commissioning events. Collectively, these materials establish a clear documentary lineage from policy formulation through approval to operational execution, and they demonstrate that governance instruments are embedded into routine operational decision-making.

26.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (document audit trail, version control)

The validation methodology for this claim emphasises reproducible evidence of authorship, approval and operational use. Each document is accompanied by a documented audit trail showing creation date, author, review cycles, approver signatures, and version-control identifiers. Validation uses sample-based reconciliation in which selected SOPs are traced to commissioning certificates, training attendance logs and incident records that show the SOP was both taught and used. Version-control manifests and change logs are provided to show revision history and governance oversight; internal audit reports and third-party inspection certificates corroborate compliance with the documented procedures. Where digital systems hold artifacts, checksum or hash values and custody metadata are supplied to demonstrate file integrity. The dossier also offers a methodology for MBOR-appointed verifiers to sample documents, interview responsible custodians and reproduce the chain-of-custody for specific governance changes or incident responses.

26.5: Comparative Analysis (industry governance benchmarks)

The comparative analysis places the company's governance corpus against industry benchmarks for attractions, hospitality and small-to-medium enterprise governance practice. The assessment reviews public SOPs, regulatory guidance and available governance manuals from peer operators and highlights the Puyuh Emas set's distinguishing features: multi-division integration (operations linked to IP, digital and social programs), depth of engineering annexes (ride and costume engineering details), integrated data and audit controls

(immutable event logs and reconciliation templates), and formal program governance for social stewardship. Where comparator documentation is limited or confidential, the dossier adopts conservative assumptions and documents evidentiary boundaries; the comparative findings nonetheless show that the integrated depth, breadth and operational provenance of AK Puyuh Emas Berhad's documentation set exceeds typical regional practice for entities of similar scale.

26.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All documents and supporting artifacts are indexed in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and referenced with appendix codes for MBOR review. Principal appendices for this chapter include the consolidated SOP binder for park operations (Appendix GOV-SOP), safety and incident response manuals with commissioning certificates (Appendix GOV-SAFE), performer and costume handling manuals (Appendix GOV-PERF), procurement and supplier governance templates plus supplier qualification records (Appendix GOV-PROC), board governance charters and delegation documents (Appendix GOV-BRD), internal audit reports and version-control manifests (Appendix GOV-AUDIT), data governance and backup/recovery policies (Appendix GOV-DATA), and program governance artifacts for Hydra-Asnaf and other community initiatives (Appendix GOV-PROG). Each appendix entry contains custodial metadata, creation and approval dates, and a named verification contact; sensitive items are offered under controlled-access arrangements to permit MBOR-appointed verifiers to inspect originals while preserving necessary commercial confidentiality.

26.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal corporate declaration signed by the Chief Executive Officer, the Head of Operations and the Head of Legal certifying that the SOPs, governance manuals and regulatory documents contained in this chapter are authentic, complete and currently in operational use. The declaration confirms that the document audit trails and version-control records are accurate, that the company will facilitate document inspection and custodian interviews, and that certified true copies of key governance artifacts are available for independent review under agreed confidentiality safeguards. The signatories acknowledge MBOR's right to request third-party verification and commit to full cooperation in providing secure access, original records and personnel support necessary to enable rigorous adjudication of this governance documentation claim.

SECTION 27: RECORD CLAIM #26: FIRST RURAL THEMEPARK TO IMPLEMENT A CORPORATE-GRADE GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK

27.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first rural-based themepark in Malaysia to adopt and operationalise a corporate-grade governance framework that aligns board-level stewardship, formal compliance obligations, cross-division accountability and auditable operational controls into a single enforceable regime. The governance framework reported here is not a set of aspirational policies but a functioning structure that has been reviewed and adopted at board level, embedded into operational management, and used to govern the company's multi-division interactions, IP custody, digital platform operations and social-program obligations. The materials presented in this chapter document the framework's legal and procedural foundations, its instituted oversight organs, and the measurable mechanisms by which the company translates strategic governance commitments into verifiable operational behaviours.

27.2: Definition & Scope (governance elements and obligations)

For the purposes of clear adjudication the corporate-grade governance framework is defined to encompass five interrelated elements: formal board governance instruments (charter, delegated authority schedules and conflict-of-interest policies); executive management accountability (organisational charters, role descriptions and KPI linkages); compliance and assurance mechanisms (internal audit, external audit liaison, regulatory filings and permit management); cross-division control systems (inter-division steering committees, transfer-pricing rules and IP custody protocols); and program governance for public-facing social initiatives (legal trust arrangements, MOU oversight and beneficiary verification protocols). The scope requires evidence that these elements are active, enforced and subject to periodic review; it further requires demonstration of how obligations flow from board policy to operational execution, for example where board-approved risk tolerances are translated into operational SOPs, compliance checkpoints and audit trails. The dossier maps these elements into governance roles and provides documentary trails showing the propagation of authority and accountability through the company.

27.3: Documentary Justification (board minutes, governance charter)

Documentary justification for the governance claim is provided by primary records showing the governance framework's authorship, adoption and operationalisation. Key evidence includes board minutes and formal resolutions approving the governance charter and the delegated authority schedule, executive committee minutes that record delegation of responsibilities to division heads, formal governance charters and committee terms of reference, signed conflict-of-interest declarations, and documented regulatory filings that evidence statutory compliance. The submission further includes exemplar governance communications that instruct operating units to implement board-approved policies, signed attestations from the Head of Legal and Head of Operations confirming the application of governance instruments, and sample audit reports that cite the framework as the basis for internal control checks. These documents together form an auditable record that traces governance decisions from board endorsement to operational action.

27.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (governance performance indicators)

The validation methodology for governance performance is based on measurable indicators and reproducible audit steps. Indicators include the frequency of board and committee meetings and documented minutes, the proportion of critical policies with documented approval and review dates, numbers and outcomes of internal audit findings and remedial actions, compliance milestone attainment rates (for licensing, safety and environmental permits), and key risk indicator trends reported to the board. Validation uses sample-based tracing where selected board directives are followed through to operational artifacts—SOP revisions, procurement actions, training records and incident response logs—to confirm implementation fidelity. The methodology further prescribes cross-checks between board-level KPI dashboards and division-level operational metrics to confirm that strategic governance targets are being measured and acted upon. All workpapers, audit logs and reconciliation steps are provided so that an independent verifier can reproduce the governance performance assessment and validate the claim's operational substance.

27.5: Comparative Analysis (governance in rural enterprises)

The comparative analysis situates AK Puyuh Emas Berhad's governance framework among rural enterprises and regional attractions, evaluating depth of formal oversight, integration of cross-division controls, and the existence of auditable assurance mechanisms. While many rural enterprises maintain basic compliance documentation, the dossier demonstrates that few operate a governance architecture where board-level charters, internal audit cycles, cross-

division transfer rules, IP custody and program-level fiduciary structures are all present and actively used to manage a live, multi-division enterprise. The analysis uses publicly available governance disclosures, industry practice notes and conservative assumptions where comparator documentation is limited, and it highlights the distinguishing features of the Puyuh Emas framework—particularly its integration with enterprise digital systems for traceability and its embedded program governance for social initiatives—as the basis for its claim of precedence in the rural theme park context. All comparator sources and the rationale for inclusion or exclusion are documented to enable MBOR reproducibility.

27.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All evidentiary materials underpinning this chapter are catalogued in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and referenced with appendix codes for MBOR review. Principal appendices include certified board minutes and approved governance charters (Appendix GVC-BRD), committee terms of reference and delegated authority schedules (Appendix GVC-COMM), signed conflict-of-interest declarations and code-of-conduct attestations (Appendix GVC-COI), internal audit reports and remedial action logs (Appendix GVC-AUDIT), regulatory filings and permit registers (Appendix GVC-REG), and operational artifacts demonstrating policy implementation such as revised SOPs, training records and incident-response records (Appendix GVC-OPS). Each appendix entry carries custodial metadata, approval dates and a designated verification contact; confidential items are available under controlled access to MBOR-appointed verifiers to permit full validation while protecting commercially or personally sensitive content.

27.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad furnishes a formal declaration signed by the Chair of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer and the Head of Legal certifying that the governance materials, board minutes and operational artifacts presented in support of this claim are authentic and complete to the best of the company's knowledge. The declaration confirms the company's readiness to facilitate governance verification activities, including access to original minutes, interviews with governance custodians, and sample audits of policy-to-practice traceability, and acknowledges MBOR's right to seek independent third-party verification. The signatories commit to cooperate fully with any such verification process and to provide the personnel, secure review windows and original source files necessary to enable rigorous and transparent adjudication of the governance framework claim.

SECTION 28: RECORD CLAIM #27: FIRST RURAL THEMEPARK IN MALAYSIA TO SERVE AS A TECHNICAL INDUSTRIAL TRAINING HUB

28.1: Executive Claim Statement

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits this chapter to substantiate the claim that Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas is the first rural-based themepark in Malaysia to operate as a certified technical industrial training hub. The claim is founded on the park's sustained hosting of industrial trainees under formal placement letters, its delivery of structured, competency-aligned training curricula in engineering, operations and hospitality disciplines, and the documented conversion of training hours into accredited competency outcomes and employment placements. The materials provided in support of this chapter show that the park's industrial training programme is governed by formal acceptance letters, mapped curricula, assessor reports and attendance and competency records, and that these records have been used by external training institutions for formal evaluation and crediting of industrial practical requirements.

28.2: Definition & Scope (training scope, disciplines covered)

For the purposes of adjudication the park's role as a technical industrial training hub is defined as the provision of workplace-based, documented practical training placements that meet the industrial training requirements of recognised technical education providers. The scope of training disciplines delivered at the park includes mechanical and electromechanical maintenance (ride and water-treatment plant maintenance), safety and water operations (lifeguard certification and pool operation), electrical and controls systems (pumps, PLCs, and site SCADA integration), hospitality operations (F&B service, retail point-of-sale procedures), and technical production for themed entertainment (costume handling, stage and show technicals). Training delivery is evidence-based, competency-mapped and supervised by inducted workplace supervisors; the park issues attendance logs, task sign-offs and assessor reports that align trainee performance to institutional learning outcomes and statutory practical training requirements. Documentary artifacts demonstrating the covered disciplines and their operational contexts are included in the evidence compendium.

28.3: Documentary Justification (LI letters, training curricula, attendance logs)

Documentary justification comprises formal letters of acceptance for industrial training placements, structured training curricula and lesson plans, signed attendance registers, daily task logs, assessor competency checklists and placement completion certificates. The park's industrial training acceptance letter and related placement documentation provide primary proof of authorised trainee enrolment and supervised workplace practice; curricula files describe the specific learning objectives and practical tasks assigned to trainees during their placement periods; attendance and task logs provide time-stamped evidence of hours worked and activities completed; and assessor reports and sign-offs document competency attainment validated by workplace supervisors and, where applicable, external assessors from partnering educational institutions. These documentary elements combine to form a reproducible record of training provision, supervision and outcome measurement suitable for independent verification.

28.4: Evidence & Validation Methodology (hours, competency outputs, assessor reports)

The evidence and validation methodology is structured to permit independent reproduction and audit. Validation begins with verification of trainee identity and the LI acceptance letter, followed by reconciliation of attendance logs against daily task registers and shift rosters to confirm total supervised hours. Competency outputs are validated through assessor checklists and competency rubrics that map observed performance to defined learning outcomes; these artefacts are stamped and signed by workplace supervisors and, where required by the sending institution, countersigned by external assessors. Sample-based triangulation is employed: a statistically selected sample of trainee records is traced end-to-end from acceptance letter to final assessor report, including photographic evidence of task performance and supervisor endorsements. Where accreditation is claimed, the methodology includes confirmation with the partner educational institution that the submitted workplace records were accepted for credit. For hours validation the dossier presents reconciled totals, shift sign-off chains and ancillary evidence such as access logs or biometric entries where available to corroborate presence and engagement. All workpapers, reconciliations and assessor statements are provided to enable MBOR-appointed verifiers to reproduce the validation steps.

28.5: Comparative Analysis (industry training hubs)

The comparative analysis surveys regional precedents for enterprise-hosted industrial training and demonstrates the distinctive status of a rural theme park functioning as a multi-discipline industrial training hub. While industrial placements are common in manufacturing and utility sectors, and limited hospitality placements occur in urban resorts, few rural entertainment venues combine technical engineering placements (ride and water systems), production and show-technical apprenticeships, and formal acceptance letters tied to institutional practical requirements. The dossier contrasts the park's documented delivery—covering mechanical maintenance, electrical controls, water treatment and themed-entertainment technicals—with typical rural enterprise offerings and shows that the park's breadth of technical disciplines, its formal acceptance processes and the documented acceptance of workplace assessor reports by educational institutions create a novel operational precedent in the Pantai Timur context. Comparative sources and conservative inclusion rules are recorded so that MBOR reviewers can reproduce the analytic approach.

28.6: Supporting Appendix References and Files

All evidentiary materials supporting this chapter are catalogued in the Evidence & Verification Compendium and cross-referenced with appendix codes for MBOR review. Principal appendices include the formal industrial training acceptance letters and placement confirmations (Appendix TR-LI), the detailed training curricula and lesson plans used for each discipline (Appendix TR-CURR), attendance registers and daily task logs (Appendix TR-LOG), assessor checklists and signed competency reports (Appendix TR-ASST), photographic and video evidence of supervised task execution (Appendix TR-PHOTO/VID), correspondence and acceptance confirmations from partner educational institutions (Appendix TR-PART), and sample reconciliations demonstrating hour totals and assessor sign-offs (Appendix TR-RECON). Each appendix entry carries custodial metadata, creation dates and designated verification contacts; where trainee personal data exists it is redacted in public bundles and made available under secure, controlled review conditions for MBOR-appointed verifiers.

28.7: Certification & Declaration

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad provides a formal corporate declaration signed by the Head of Operations and the Head of Human Resources certifying that the industrial training records, curricula and assessor reports presented in support of this chapter are authentic and accurately reflect the park's supervised workplace training activities. The declaration confirms the company's willingness to facilitate independent verification, including contact facilitation with partner educational institutions, supervised review windows for assessor interviews, and secure access to the original attendance and competence records under appropriate confidentiality safeguards. The signatories acknowledge MBOR's right to request additional

third-party confirmation or site inspection and undertake to cooperate fully with any such procedures to enable rigorous and transparent adjudication of the training hub claim.

SECTION 29: CROSS-CLAIM METHODOLOGY & VALIDATION FRAMEWORK

29.1: Measurement Principles & Standards Applied Across Claims

The measurement principles applied across all record claims are founded on objectivity, reproducibility and conservative inference. Objectivity requires that each claim be stated in precise, measurable terms with clearly defined units of measurement, temporal windows and spatial boundaries; where a metric is inherently qualitative it is converted into an auditable proxy (for example, “operational status” is evidenced by dated ticketing extractions and on-site commissioning certificates). Reproducibility mandates that every numeric assertion be traceable to primary-source evidence, that calculation workpapers be supplied with explicit formulas and rounding conventions, and that the same methodological rules be applied to comparators to preserve parity. Conservative inference is a governing principle: where evidence is incomplete or ambiguous the dossier adopts the most conservative interpretation that still supports the claim rather than stretching assumptions to inflate outcomes. These principles are implemented in the dossier’s measurement playbook, which defines terminologies, standardises area and time measurement conventions, prescribes provenance expectations for documentary items and documents the approved margin-of-error bounds that apply to geospatial and survey calculations. Representative measurement templates and the primary measurement playbook are lodged in the Evidence & Verification Compendium for MBOR review.

29.2: Verification Processes and Third-Party Validation Protocols

Verification follows a tiered model that combines internal source reconciliation, independent field verification and, where appropriate, third-party technical attestation. Internal source reconciliation is the first stage: each claim’s data streams are cross-checked within AK Puyuh Emas Berhad’s archival systems (operational logs, financial ledgers, platform extracts) to ensure internal consistency and to assemble an indexed evidence trail. Independent field verification is the second stage and consists of reproducible inspector activities such as cadastral re-measurement, ride and costume inspection, live demonstration of digital event-to-entitlement flows and tracer audits of donation transaction chains; these activities are described in the dossier’s verification protocol and supported by templates that MBOR may use for on-site assessment. Third-party validation is the third stage: for technical claims that require specialised expertise (for example structural survey of slide lengths, independent IT forensic review of system logs, or AI model audit), the dossier identifies suitably credentialed independent firms and provides previously obtained third-party attestations and commissioning reports where available. For claims relying on social outcomes or economic

impact, the dossier recommends and provides the terms of reference for independent evaluators to conduct longitudinal sampling. The verification process and provider selection criteria are documented to enable MBOR to commission or to accept independent reviews under agreed scopes.

29.3: Evidence Authentication Protocols and Chain-of-Custody Procedures

All evidentiary items included in the dossier are accompanied by an authentication header that records origin, custody, hash/checksum (where digital), creation and modification timestamps, and the responsible custodian contact. Physical documents have certified true-copy stamps or notarised attestations where applicable; digital artifacts such as system extracts, model registries and event logs are supplied with cryptographic hashes and export provenance metadata to prevent tampering and to demonstrate immutability between export and review. Chain-of-custody procedures require a documented handover log each time an artifact is transferred from the company to MBOR-appointed verifiers or to third-party auditors; where secure review rooms are used, access logs and reviewer attestation forms are appended to the corresponding appendix entry. For sensitive personal or commercially confidential records the dossier offers redacted public bundles and a secure, controlled-access protocol for full inspection under non-disclosure arrangements; these access procedures, together with reviewer responsibilities and acceptable-use rules, are included to protect privacy while enabling thorough verification. Representative authentication examples and custody manifests are included in the Evidence & Verification Compendium.

29.4: Criteria Consistency, Error Margins & Quality Assurance

To ensure fairness across claims and comparators, the dossier implements strict criteria consistency rules: definitions used for inclusion/exclusion are applied uniformly to the subject site and to each identified comparator, time windows are normalised to standard reporting periods, and conversion conventions (units, currency, smoothing of anomalous events) are pre-declared in calculation sheets. Error margins are explicitly stated for measurement-sensitive claims—geospatial measurements include survey tolerance bands, financial comparisons state currency conversion and rounding rules, and AI-derived attribution claims present confidence intervals and p-values from causal or A/B analyses. Quality assurance is enforced by a four-step review cycle: initial data collation by custodians, independent internal cross-audit by an internal verification officer, technical review by a subject-matter expert, and pre-submission sign-off from executive custodians named in the dossier. All QA checks, reviewer names, dates and findings are recorded and preserved in the compendium so that MBOR-appointed verifiers can see the provenance of each documented assertion and the internal controls used to produce it.

SECTION 30: NATIONAL COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

30.1: Comparative Benchmarking Against National Themeparks and Attractions

This comparative benchmarking positions Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas against the universe of national themeparks and attractions by applying the dossier's standardised measurement playbook to three core dimensions: scale of developed water-attraction footprint, breadth and novelty of signature attractions, and demonstrated operational maturity (ticketing throughput, safety certifications and staffing competencies). The analysis uses conservative inclusion rules that exclude multi-site resort conglomerates and temporary event installations, and relies on primary-source evidence for Puyuh Emas together with publicly available records and documented comparator data where obtainable. When measured on developed water footprint and attraction-count within its geographic and contextual class (rural/East Coast parks), Puyuh Emas ranks at the leading edge because of its documented combination of multi-tier Supaa Doopa slides, mixed-depth pool zones and diversified attraction inventory; these conclusions are grounded in site plans and operational inventories maintained in the company profile and master documentation.

30.2: Digital & Tech Infrastructure Benchmarking Against Domestic Standards

Against domestic technical standards for attractions and SMEs, the Puyuh Emas multi-tier digital architecture stands apart through its integrated stack that unites guest identity and entitlement services, edge presentation topology, high-performance compute for analytics and an experiential orchestration layer. The comparative assessment examines public disclosures and known deployments across domestic attractions and finds that while single-element capabilities (for example a membership system or third-party booking platform) are increasingly common, the co-location of an enterprise integration fabric, model-training compute, provenance-enabled donation systems and an autonomous web orchestration layer at a single SME-operated site is distinctive. The architecture diagrams, deployment proofs and operational logs in the dossier substantiate production use of Oracle Nexus, WebHydra, HydraCore and Hydra Athers as a cohesive ecosystem rather than as disparate experiments.

30.3: IP, Narrative & Creative Asset Comparison with National Benchmarks

The dossier positions the Puyuh Emas corpus of creative assets—comprising a Mascot Master Document, a multi-book Academy series, an official canonical novel, and an organised IP register—against national benchmarks for themepark-originated IP. The comparison emphasises not only the raw counts of registered and controlled assets but the strategic integration of those assets into operating flows: canonical narrative governance that prescribes cross-division use, publication metadata and distribution channels for literary franchises, and a documented mascot engineering and licensing regime that ties creative design to manufacturing and safety standards. On these combined metrics of volume, governance depth and operational embedding, the portfolio exhibits rare breadth for an SME and a rural operator in particular, supported by manuscript histories, IP register extracts and the Mascot Master Document.

30.4: Governance, SOP & Compliance Comparative Assessment

The governance assessment compares the company's board-level charters, full SOP corpus, internal audit cycles and program governance for social initiatives against industry governance norms for attractions and regional SMEs. The Puyuh Emas framework is distinct for formally embedding corporate governance into operational practice through version-controlled SOPs, auditable change logs, a functioning internal audit cycle, and program-level fiduciary constructs for initiatives such as the Asnaf programme. These governance artifacts demonstrate a level of procedural maturity and auditable control that typically appears at larger-scale operators, and the dossier documents both adoption at board level and downstream enforcement at operational levels. This positions the company ahead of typical regional peers on enforceable governance, compliance traceability and integrated program oversight.

30.5: Social Program and Community Impact Comparisons

The comparative assessment of social programming emphasises measurable design and transparency: the Hydra-Asnaf platform, the Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program and the donation provenance systems introduce explainable eligibility, traceable transaction chains and conditional disbursement logic that are rare among private attractions. The dossier contrasts these capabilities with common practice in regional social programmes—where unconditional disbursements and manual traceability remain typical—and demonstrates that the Puyuh Emas model's combination of digital provenance, outcome-based disbursement and integration into enterprise operations produces a higher degree of measurable

accountability and potential for longitudinal impact. Pilot evidence, anonymised transaction logs and program design documents are presented to substantiate claims of differentiated social-program rigor and transparency.

SECTION 31: GOVERNANCE, COMPLIANCE & ASSURANCE STRUCTURE

31.1: Regulatory Compliance Summary and Statutory Registrations

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad maintains a documented and auditable record of statutory registrations and regulatory compliance obligations relevant to the operation of a rural themepark, retail enterprise and associated commercial activities. The company's regulatory posture encompasses company incorporation filings, land-use and development approvals, environmental and water-use permits where applicable, occupational safety registrations for attractions and lifeguard operations, food and beverage licensing for on-site F&B outlets, and any product regulatory registrations required for consumer wellness goods distributed under the Elvyra line. These registrations are recorded in the corporate registry compendium and cross-referenced to the master permits ledger to demonstrate currency and completeness; the dossier provides certified extracts and permit copies that evidence the timeline of approvals, the responsible issuing authorities and the corporate contacts responsible for maintaining each compliance stream. The company also maintains a statutory calendar that maps renewal dates, compliance milestones and regulatory reporting obligations to named custodians within the Head of Legal and Head of Operations functions, thereby creating an auditable chain of responsibility for statutory adherence and proactive renewal management.

31.2: Operational Safety, Quality Assurance & Insurance Coverage

Operational safety is governed by an integrated safety assurance programme that combines attraction-specific technical protocols, water quality and treatment controls, lifeguard and first-responder training standards, performer and costume safety regimens, and periodic third-party inspections. The park's safety architecture is specified in the operational SOP binders and is supported by engineering annexes that document ride and slide commissioning criteria, maintenance schedules, and acceptance test results. Quality assurance is delivered through routine checklists, preventive maintenance logs and a documented internal audit cadence that records findings, corrective actions and verification sign-offs. Insurance coverage is structured to align with the risk profile of the enterprise and includes public liability for guest injury, property and asset insurance for built infrastructure, product liability where appropriate for consumer goods, and professional indemnity or cyber coverage for platform-related exposures; insurance certificates and brokered policy summaries are lodged with the company's risk register and are available to verifiers as part of the assurance compendium. Together, these elements form a layered defence-in-depth approach that prioritises guest safety, operational continuity and supplier quality, and which is subject to both internal audit and third-party verification events documented in the governance files.

31.3: Internal Audit Processes and Documentation Integrity Controls

The company's internal audit function operates under a formally approved charter that defines scope, reporting lines and periodicity of assurance activities. Internal audits cover operational compliance, financial controls, procurement integrity, IP custody, digital system change control and program governance for social initiatives. Each audit produces a formal report with findings, risk ratings, remediation plans and follow-up verification steps; these reports are recorded in the internal audit ledger and tracked to closure by the Head of Internal Audit and the Board Audit Committee. Documentation integrity is preserved through version-control systems, custody manifests for sensitive artefacts, cryptographic hashing for critical digital exports, and notarised attestations for select physical documents where required. Change-control procedures for SOPs and governance instruments ensure that all revisions pass through a documented review and approval pipeline; the audit trail, approver signatures and custodial metadata are retained to enable independent reconstruction of document provenance and to assure MBOR-appointed verifiers of the authenticity of submitted evidentiary items.

31.4: Ethical, Social & Community Assurance Frameworks

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad has codified ethical and social assurance commitments into dedicated program charters and operating principles that govern community-facing initiatives, the Hydra-Asnaf platform and the Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program. These frameworks define beneficiary selection ethics, donor transparency obligations, conflict-of-interest avoidance measures, data privacy controls for beneficiary and donor records, and third-party oversight arrangements, including periodic external evaluations and audited reconciliations of program flows. Mechanisms for community grievance, beneficiary redress and independent audit are documented and integrated with the company's broader governance channels to ensure accountability and responsiveness. The company's social assurance approach emphasises explainability for any automated decisions affecting beneficiaries, traceable financial provenance for donated funds, and public reporting dashboards that summarise program inputs and verified outcomes while protecting personal data through redaction and secure-access review protocols. These ethical and social controls are operationalised through legal trust instruments, MOUs with partner agencies, and the Hydra-Asnaf workflow and audit artifacts lodged in the dossier's program compendium.

SECTION 32: EVIDENCE & VERIFICATION COMPENDIUM

32.1: Themepark Infrastructure, Photos, Plans and Asset Registers

The compendium's themepark infrastructure module contains the authoritative site plans, as-built drawings, asset registers and photographic record that establish the footprint, constructed works and operational assets of Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas. This module consolidates cadastral maps and certified perimeter plans that anchor the spatial boundary used across all spatial claims, as-built engineering schematics for each major attraction (including sectional drawings and structural notes), commissioning certificates for permanent installations, procurement and contractor completion records, and a time-stamped photographic archive that documents construction milestones and public openings. The infrastructure bundle is cross-referenced to the park's asset ledger and maintenance logs so that each claimed physical item can be traced to a purchase order, contractor acceptance certificate and ongoing maintenance record; these items are provided to facilitate independent geospatial re-measurement, physical inspection and lifecycle verification.

32.2: IP, Literary, Media and Creative Asset Files

The IP and creative asset module holds the canonical creative artefacts that underpin the dossier's narrative and merchandising claims. Key materials include the Mascot Master Document and canonical story bible, full manuscript histories and production metadata for the Academy book series and the official novel, master art files and pattern masters for character costumes, registered and pending trademark filings or application receipts, hashed snapshots of digital master files, and a controlled inventory of unpublished but company-owned creative works. Each item is accompanied by provenance metadata—author, creation and modification timestamps, custody record—and where applicable by publisher correspondence, ISBN or catalogue extracts and distribution manifests to prove publication and operational deployment. These assets are indexed to the IP register so verifiers can reconcile public filings with internal custody records.

32.3: Digital Infrastructure, System Logs and Architectures

The digital infrastructure module aggregates the technical documentation and operational telemetry for Oracle Nexus, WebHydra, HydraCore, HydraGenesis, Project AURORA and

Hydra Athers. Delivered artifacts include architecture diagrams with deployment topologies, configuration extracts and integration matrices, model registry exports and version metadata from Akinova, system runbooks, scheduled backup and recovery reports, uptime and performance baselines, authenticated system logs (exported with cryptographic hashes), API call traces for key orchestration flows, and representative event-to-entitlement transaction traces demonstrating production behaviour. For claims that require technical audit or forensic inspection, the compendium provides clearly labelled, time-stamped log windows and corresponding change-control records so that third-party technical reviewers can reproduce test harnesses, validate telemetry and verify end-to-end system integration under controlled conditions.

32.4: Social Program Data, Transaction Records and Beneficiary Evidence

This section contains the governed records that substantiate the Hydra-Asnaf and Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program claims: anonymised donor transaction logs with unique transaction identifiers, reconciled payment gateway confirmations, conditional disbursement records linked to verified deliverables, beneficiary registry extracts with redaction applied, pilot evaluation reports, dashboard exports illustrating donor-facing transparency screens, and audit workpapers used to trace sample transactions from donor to outcome. Also included are legal trust drafts, MOUs with partner agencies, third-party verification memos and sampled beneficiary acceptance artifacts. All personally sensitive data are provided in anonymised form in the public bundle and in full under secure, controlled-access arrangements for appointed verifiers to permit privacy-preserving but complete audit.

32.5: Governance, SOPs, Training Records and Compliance Files

The governance compendium comprises the complete corpus of corporate governance instruments and operational controls: board charters and certified minutes, the delegated authority schedule, the consolidated SOP binder covering operations, safety, procurement, IP custody and data governance, version-control logs and change histories, internal audit reports and remediation trackers, insurance certificates and regulatory permit registers, and attestations of compliance for statutory obligations. Each governance item is cross-linked to implementation artifacts (for example SOP → training attendance registers → incident reports) so verifiers can trace policy into practice. Where sensitive commercial details exist, certified true copies are made available under secure review protocols while redacted summaries are included in the public dossier.

32.6: Internship & Industrial Training Records and Evaluations

This module contains the full records supporting the park's role as an industrial training hub: formal letters of acceptance for industrial placements, structured curricula with competency matrices, signed daily attendance and task logs, assessor checklists and signed competency reports, photographic evidence of supervised practical tasks, and correspondence confirming institutional acceptance of workplace outputs for academic credit. Reconciled hour totals, sample assessor narratives and placement completion certificates are supplied to validate claims of supervised training hours and competency attainment; all personal data are redacted in public bundles and made available under controlled access for verification.

32.7: Time-Stamped Photographs, Video Proof, Geospatial Files and Maps

The multimedia evidentiary set provides an auditable visual and geospatial record: high-resolution, time-stamped photographs and video captures of attractions, mascot deployments and major events; drone or aerial imagery where available; georeferenced GIS files and shapefiles used in footprint calculations; surveyor certificates and control-point logs used in slide-length and footprint measurements; and embedded EXIF/geotag metadata for each media item. Each file is supplied with an authenticity header that records export hashes, original capture timestamps and custody transitions so that visual claims can be cross-validated with engineering drawings, commissioning certificates and independent survey data.

32.8: Independent Verification Statements and Third-Party Reports

The compendium closes with external attestations and specialist reports acquired to strengthen technical and social claims: independent surveyor certificates for geospatial measurements, commissioning and safety inspection reports from qualified engineers, third-party technical attestations for digital system commissioning, independent social-impact pilot reviews, and external audit summaries where available. Each third-party artifact is accompanied by the provider's scope of work, credentials and contact details to enable MBOR or other authorised reviewers to seek direct clarification. Where third-party reviews are recommended but not yet obtained, the dossier includes tender-grade terms of reference and suggested independent providers to expedite rapid technical adjudication.

SECTION 33: CERTIFIED ANNEXES & LEGAL SUPPORT DOCUMENTS

33.1: Certified True Copies of Official Documents

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad submits a curated set of certified true copies of the corporate and operational documents referenced throughout this dossier. The certified bundle includes, but is not limited to, company incorporation certificates, board resolutions approving strategic and masterplan documents, land titles and certified cadastral extracts, statutory permit certificates for development and F&B operations, insurance certificates and broker summaries, registered trademark and application receipts where issued, and certified publication receipts or publisher letters for the Academy series and canonical novel. Each certified copy is accompanied by an authentication header that records the original custodian, date of issuance, the certifying officer's name and position, the certifying authority or notary reference, and a unique custodial identifier that maps back to the Evidence & Verification Compendium. Certified copies that originate from third-party registries include registry extract confirmations where available and printed verification receipts; certified copies of internal corporate approvals are presented with board-minute cross-references and signatory validation. The package is intended to provide MBOR and other authorised verifiers with legally recognisable documentary proof that the primary records exist, were issued on the dates claimed, and are held under the custody arrangements described in the dossier.

33.2: Official Stamps, Notarised Statements and Verification Seals

To ensure legal admissibility and to streamline third-party verification, the certified annexes include notarised statements and official seals from authorised representatives and recognised certifying authorities. Notarised statements cover key attestations such as the authenticity of manuscript provenance, the accuracy of engineering as-built drawings, and the corporate adoption of governance charters. Where documents require third-party attestation—such as independent surveyor certificates or external commissioning reports—those documents carry the issuing organisation's stamp and signed verification statement. For internal corporate records, a corporate verification seal is affixed alongside a signed custodial declaration from the Head of Legal or the appointed Evidence Custodian; the declaration confirms that the attached copy is a true and complete reproduction of the original and that no alterations have been made. Each notarisation or seal is recorded in a notarisation register that identifies the certifying officer, date of certification, scope of verification and the original document reference, and the register is appended to the certified annexes to enable a rapid chain-of-authenticity inspection by MBOR or its authorised agents.

33.3: Signed Legal Declarations, MOUs and Letters of Support

The certified annexes contain the executed legal instruments and supporting letters that underpin governance, partnership and programmatic claims made in this dossier. These items include signed memoranda of understanding with educational institutions and NGO partners for training and social programs, stewardship and trust instrument extracts for the Asnaf Sovereign Transformative Program, supplier and manufacturing agreements evidencing provenance claims, publisher agreements and distribution confirmations for literary works, and letters of support or endorsement issued by partner agencies, local authorities or institutional collaborators where available. Each legal instrument is presented with a cover declaration that summarises the parties, principal obligations, effective dates and any confidentiality caveats; where execution pages contain wet signatures, high-resolution scans of the signature pages are presented alongside certified true copies and notarised attestations. Letters of support are provided with issuer contact details, issuer position and the date of issuance, and where institutional endorsement is offered in principle but pending formal signature the dossier documents the status, the expected timeline and the custodian responsible for finalisation.

33.4: Full Appendices A–Z (Complete Evidence Archive)

The complete evidence archive, indexed as Appendices A through Z, forms the certified annexes' central reference library and contains the exhaustive set of documentary, technical and media files that substantiate every chapter of the submission. The archive is organised into logical bundles—physical infrastructure, IP and creative assets, digital systems, social program records, governance and SOPs, training and intern files, technical surveys and multimedia proof—and each appendix entry is accompanied by a metadata sheet recording filename, creation date, custodial identifier, checksum/hash for digital integrity, notarisation status and access constraints. Sensitive appendices that include personal data or commercially privileged material are clearly flagged and are available to MBOR-appointed verifiers under a controlled-access protocol that requires non-disclosure agreements, secure review-room arrangements or encrypted transfer mechanisms. The archive also contains a master chain-of-custody manifest that logs every point at which an artifact has been transferred, copied or certified; the manifest records responsible custodians, dates, purposes of transfer and the recipient organisation or person. This master manifest serves as the definitive provenance ledger for the dossier and enables any authorised third-party to reconstruct the full evidentiary lifecycle from creation to certified annex inclusion.

CONCLUDING LEGAL NOTE

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad affirms that the certified annexes and legal support documents included in Section 33.0 have been prepared in good faith and to the best of the company's knowledge and authority. The company acknowledges that MBOR may require authenticated originals or additional legalisation (for example consular or apostille services where international verification is requested) and commits to facilitate such processes within reasonable regulatory and logistical constraints. Where third-party services are required—for independent survey repetition, forensic log reviews, or third-party social-impact evaluations—the dossier includes proposed terms of reference and a roster of qualified providers to expedite rapid engagement.

SECTION 34: FINAL DECLARATION & AUTHORITY SEAL

34.1: Closing Statement & Executive Summary of Outcomes Requested

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad respectfully submits this multi-record dossier to the Malaysia Book of Records as the consolidated evidentiary basis for the twenty-seven record claims presented on behalf of Taman Tema Air & Resort Puyuh Emas. The dossier, its certified annexes and the compiled verification compendium together evidence a unified enterprise achievement spanning themepark infrastructure, creative IP, digital and AI-enabled platform engineering, rigorous governance and pioneering social-programme practice. The company requests MBOR's formal adjudication and recognition of each claim in accordance with the measurement principles, verification protocols and conservative evidence standards set out in Sections 29.0 and 32.0 of this dossier. Where MBOR requires staged adjudication or incremental verification steps, the company requests that MBOR notify AK Puyuh Emas Berhad of the specific evidentiary windows and the preferred independent verifiers so that the required controlled-access arrangements can be scheduled without undue delay. The outcomes sought are formal record recognition for the claims enumerated, accompanied by MBOR's standard record certificates and any suggested public acknowledgement statements appropriate to each confirmed record.

34.2: Certification of Truth, Accuracy and Good Faith

AK Puyuh Emas Berhad certifies that the statements made in this dossier and the documentary materials lodged in the Evidence & Verification Compendium are true, accurate and complete to the best of the company's knowledge and belief at the date of submission. The company affirms that primary-source documents, certified copies and notarised annexes have been presented in good faith for the purpose of independent adjudication and that no material fact known to the signatories has been omitted that would reasonably be expected to alter the assessment of any claim. Where third-party attestations have been quoted, the dossier either appends the original attestation or provides a direct custodial contact so that MBOR may obtain confirmation. The company acknowledges the seriousness of the representations made and accepts that willful misstatement may have legal and reputational consequences; accordingly AK Puyuh Emas Berhad undertakes to cooperate fully with MBOR-appointed verification activities, to provide original records for inspection under agreed confidentiality protections, and to correct the record should any inadvertent error be identified.

34.3: Official Signatures, Company Seal and Witnessing Authority

This dossier is submitted under the formal authority of AK Puyuh Emas Berhad and is attested below by the company officers authorised to bind the organisation for purposes of record submission, verification and certification. The signatories confirm that they hold the delegated corporate authority to represent the company in dealings with the Malaysia Book of Records, to provide certified copies of records, and to enter into confidentiality or verifier-access arrangements as necessary to support adjudication. The authorised signatures and the official company seal shall be applied to the final submission pages and to any certified annex cover letters forwarded to MBOR as part of the controlled-access review process. Signed attestations and sealed annexes are available in the certified bundle (see Section 33.0) and are provided to MBOR as evidence of corporate authorization for the claims and the verification engagements that may follow.

34.4: Commissioner for Oaths / Notary Public Certification (where applicable)

Where MBOR or other verification bodies require notarisisation, apostille or commissioner-for-oaths authentication of original documents, AK Puyuh Emas Berhad will provide the requested legalisation services for certified annexes in accordance with applicable jurisdictional procedures. The company has prepared a notarisisation register and has secured preliminary notary affirmation for key certified annexes referenced in Section 33.0; originals and notarised copies will be made available under mutually agreed secure review protocols and, where international legalisation is required, the company will coordinate consular or apostille processing consistent with MBOR's requirements. Contact details for the Head of Legal and the appointed Evidence Custodian—who will coordinate all notarial and attestation logistics—are included in the dossier's master index to expedite any formal legalisation steps requested by MBOR or its authorised agents.